

# ***WATCHMAN'S TEACHING LETTER***

Monthly Letter #200 December 2014; Teacher Clifton A. Emahiser  
1012 N. Vine Street, Fostoria, Ohio 44830 / emahiser.christogenea.org

## **TO THOSE WHOM THE COVENANT BELONGS**

### **A NON-UNIVERSAL CULTURE AWARENESS INSTRUCTIONAL PUBLICATION**

This is a non-copyrighted teaching letter.  
Please feel free to make as many copies as you wish, but not to edit.

### **A MONTHLY TEACHING LETTER**

This is my two hundredth monthly teaching letter and continues my seventeenth year of publication. Since WTL #137, I have been continuing a series entitled *The Greatest Love Story Ever Told*, and have been expanding on its seven stages ever since: (1) the courtship, (2) the marriage, (3) the honeymoon, (4) the estrangement, (5) the divorce, (6) the reconciliation, and (7) the remarriage.

#### **THE GREATEST LOVE STORY EVER TOLD, Part 59, THE RECONCILIATION (i.e., Redemption):**

In the last lesson, WTL #199, I found that there was more to the subject of Isaiah 4:1 than I anticipated, so I left off with the question, "(Isa. 4:1?)", which reads:

**"And in that day seven women shall take hold of one man, saying, We will eat our own bread, and wear our own apparel: only let us be called by thy name, to take away our reproach."**

I would also like to repeat Isaiah 3:12, cited in WTL #199, as it gives us some idea of where we are at today:

**"As for my people, children are their oppressors, and women rule over them. O my people, they which lead thee cause thee to err, and destroy the way of thy paths."** This verse refers back to Isaiah 3:4-5 thusly:

**"<sup>4</sup> And I will give children to be their princes, and babes shall rule over them. <sup>5</sup> And the people shall be oppressed, every one by another, and every one by his neighbour: the child shall behave himself proudly against the ancient, and the base against the honourable."**

So, not only do we have a situation where the women of Israel are outnumbering the men seven to one, but we have a second dilemma, where the children are in a state of rebellion against their parents and refuse the wisdom of their elders. What else should we expect from our children when we leave their upbringing to the State, baby-sitters and preschool services. After all, Proverbs 29:15 speaks quite clearly:

(KJV): **"The rod and reproof give wisdom: but a child left to himself bringeth his mother<sup>H517</sup> to shame."**

Charles Thomson *Septuagint*: **"The rod and reproofs give wisdom: but a child led astray shameth his parents."**

Of these two translations, the KJV does a little better rendering “mother” rather than the *Septuagint* rendering of “parents”. I will now quote in part from *The Theological Workbook of the Old Testament* on Strong’s Hebrew #517:

“... The word always (except once) means ‘mother.’ In most occurrences it refers literally to the female parent. It is used at times in a figurative sense.

“**517** ... *’êm* refers to Eve, figuratively as mother of all living beings (though she was also the literal mother, Gen. 3:20); to Deborah as a mother in Israel (Jud. 5:7); to a city as mother to its inhabitants (Isa. 50:1; Ezk. 16:44; Hos. 2:2 ...); and even to a worm as mother of Job (Job 17:14). On some occasions the term is applied to nonhuman mothers: Ex. 34:26; Deut. 22:6.

“In studying the contexts and senses in which the word is used we note several of particular interest, first, texts which relate to the duties of the mother. She is to be a source of comfort (Isa. 66:13), a teacher (Prov. 31:1), and a disciplinarian (Zech 13:3).

“We note also what her children owe her. These obligations may be defined as positive duties and negative duties. On the positive side, her children owe her obedience (Gen. 28:7), blessings (Prov. 30:11), honor (Ex. 20:12), fear (i.e., respect, Lev. 19:3), and mourning when she has died (Ps. 35:14). On the negative side, her children must not strike her (Ex. 21:15), rob her (Prov. 28:24), chase her away (Prov. 19:26), bring her to shame (Prov. 29:15; so Lev. 18:7), set light by her (i.e., ridicule her, Deut. 27:16), nor forsake her law (Prov. 1:8). This shows clearly the high standing of motherhood in a redeemed society.

“Yet, the mother’s role in her adult son’s life was clearly subordinate to that of his wife (Gen. 2:24). His duties to his mother could not supplant or take precedence over his duties to his wife ....”

With this, it should become apparent that the mature Israelite woman has her unique place and duties in a lawful marriage, as well as her husband and children! Therefore, each family member should endeavor to fulfill his/her Yahweh given place and perform the duties of attending that position. One element in that direction is found at Deut. 22:5, where it instructs:

**“The woman shall not wear that which pertaineth unto a man, neither shall a man put on a woman’s garment: for all that do so are abomination unto Yahweh thy Elohim.”**

*The Treasury of Scripture Knowledge*, edited by Jerome H. Smith has this comment on this passage, pp. 219-220:

“**5. woman shall not.** 1 Cor. 11:4-15; 1 Tim. 2:9; Titus 2:4-15 ... This prohibition was no doubt intended to exclude the idolatrous customs of the heathen, as well as to prevent the evil which would be produced by the introduction of such customs. Nothing was more common among idolaters, than for men, in the worship of several of their gods, to put on the garments worn by women; particularly in the worship of Venus, to which that of Ashtaroth among the Canaanites bore a striking resemblance, the women were accustomed to appear in armor before her, and the men in women’s apparel. But independently of this, the practice has produced the greatest confusion in society, and has been productive of the grossest crimes. Hence Clodius, who dresses himself as a

woman, that he might mingle with the Roman ladies in the feast of the *Bona dae*, [good goddess], was universally justly execrated. Jer. 10:2 ....”

It might be well to cite these Biblical passages which *The Treasury of Scripture Knowledge* makes reference to:

1 Cor. 11:3-15: “<sup>3</sup> But I would have you know, that the head of every man is [Yahshua] Christ; and the head of the woman *is* the man; and the head of Christ *is* Yahweh. <sup>4</sup> Every man praying or prophesying, having *his* head covered, dishonoureth his head. <sup>5</sup> But every woman that prayeth or prophesieth with *her* head uncovered dishonoureth her head: for that is even all one as if she were shaven. <sup>6</sup> For if the woman be not covered, let her also be shorn: but if it be a shame for a woman to be shorn or shaven, let her be covered. <sup>7</sup> For a man indeed ought not to cover *his* head, forasmuch as he is the image and glory of Yahweh: but the woman is the glory of the man. <sup>8</sup> For the man is not of the woman; but the woman of the man. <sup>9</sup> Neither was the man created for the woman; but the woman for the man. <sup>10</sup> For this cause ought the woman to have power on *her* head because of the angels. <sup>11</sup> Nevertheless neither is the man without the woman, neither the woman without the man, in Yahweh. <sup>12</sup> For as the woman *is* of the man, even so *is* the man also by the woman; but all things of Yahweh. <sup>13</sup> Judge in yourselves: is it comely that a woman pray unto God uncovered? <sup>14</sup> Doth not even nature itself teach you, that, if a man have long hair, it is a shame unto him? <sup>15</sup> But if a woman have long hair, it is a glory to her: for *her* hair is given her for a covering.”

1 Tim. 2:8-13: “<sup>8</sup> I will therefore that men pray every where, lifting up holy hands, without wrath and doubting. <sup>9</sup> In like manner also, that women adorn themselves in modest apparel, with shamefacedness and sobriety; not with broided hair, or gold, or pearls, or costly array; <sup>10</sup> But (which becometh women professing Yahweh-likeness) with good works. <sup>11</sup> Let the woman learn in silence with all subjection. <sup>12</sup> But I suffer not a woman to teach, nor to usurp authority over the man, but to be in silence. <sup>13</sup> For Adam was first formed, then Eve.”

Titus 2:2-15: “<sup>2</sup> That the aged men be sober, grave, temperate, sound in faith, in charity, in patience. <sup>3</sup> The aged women likewise, that *they be* in behaviour as becometh holiness, not false accusers, not given to much wine, teachers of good things; <sup>4</sup> That they may teach the young women to be sober, to love their husbands, to love their children, <sup>5</sup> To be discreet, chaste, keepers at home, good, obedient to their own husbands, that the word of Yahweh be not blasphemed. <sup>6</sup> Young men likewise exhort to be sober minded. <sup>7</sup> In all things shewing thyself a pattern of good works: in doctrine *shewing* uncorruptness, gravity, sincerity, <sup>8</sup> Sound speech, that cannot be condemned; that he that is of the contrary part may be ashamed, having no evil thing to say of you. <sup>9</sup> Exhort servants to be obedient unto their own masters, *and* to please *them* well in all *things*; not answering again; <sup>10</sup> Not purloining, but shewing all good fidelity; that they may adorn the doctrine of Yahshua our Saviour in all things. <sup>11</sup> For the grace of Yahweh that bringeth salvation hath appeared to all men, <sup>12</sup> Teaching us that, denying un-

**Yahweh-likeness and worldly lusts, we should live soberly, righteously, and Yahweh-like, in this present world; <sup>13</sup> Looking for that blessed hope, and the glorious appearing of the great Yahweh and our Saviour Yahshua Christ; <sup>14</sup> Who gave himself for us, that he might redeem us from all iniquity, and purify unto himself a peculiar people, zealous of good works. <sup>15</sup> These things speak, and exhort, and rebuke with all authority. Let no man despise thee.”**

**Jer. 10:2: “Thus saith Yahweh, Learn not the way of the [non-Israel] nations, and be not dismayed at the signs of heaven; for the [non-Israel] nations are dismayed at them.”**

**Back to our subject at Isaiah 4:1, which reads: “And in that day seven women shall take hold of one man, saying, We will eat our own bread, and wear our own apparel: only let us be called by thy name, to take away our reproach.”**

Whatever period of history one wishes to place the fulfillment of this prophecy, it is speaking of some women who never had any children, as that is the reason for their “reproach”! And for whatever logic one chooses to believe why these women didn’t happen to have any children, their motive in this passage is to rectify that omission by “taking hold” of an already married man! We surely understand that the Almighty Yahweh has a sovereign will, and a permissive will. At Matt. 19:3-9, it is stated by Yahshua:

**“<sup>3</sup> The Pharisees also came unto him, tempting him, and saying unto him, Is it lawful for a man to put away his wife for every cause? <sup>4</sup> And he answered and said unto them, Have ye not read, that he which made *them* at the beginning made them male and female, <sup>5</sup> And said, For this cause shall a man leave father and mother, and shall cleave to his wife: and they twain shall be one flesh? <sup>6</sup> Wherefore they are no more twain, but one flesh. What therefore Yahweh hath joined together, let not man put asunder. <sup>7</sup> They say unto him, Why did Moses then command to give a writing of divorcement, and to put her away? <sup>8</sup> He saith unto them, Moses because of the hardness of your hearts suffered you to put away your wives: but from the beginning it was not so. <sup>9</sup> And I say unto you, Whosoever shall put away his wife, except *it be* for fornication, and shall marry another, committeth adultery: and whoso marrieth her which is put away doth commit adultery.”**

Therefore, it should be quite evident that Yahweh’s sovereign will is for one Adam-man to have one Adam-woman! Evidently, these “seven women that take hold of one man” fall under Yahweh’s permissive will. Under Yahweh’s sovereign will, it is only reasonable, then, that one Adam-woman should have one Adam-man. Since these seven Adam-women of Isaiah 4:1 are only to take hold of one Adam-man, they are safely within Yahweh’s sovereign will on their female side of the issue.

It should also be noted that only “bone of my bones and flesh of my flesh” count as husband, wife or offspring. Therefore, if the Adamic-man or Adamic-woman sexually unite with a nonwhite, non-Adamite and have children, that union is not one Adam-man with one Adam-woman, and the half-breed child is a violation of Yahweh’s original law of “kind after kind” of Genesis ch. 1!

Malachi 3:6 states: **“For I am Yahweh, I change not; therefore ye sons of Jacob are not consumed.”**

Gen. 2:21-24 reads: **“<sup>21</sup> And Yahweh Elohim caused a deep sleep to fall upon Adam, and he slept: and he took one of his ribs<sup>H6763</sup>, and closed up the flesh instead thereof; <sup>22</sup> And the rib<sup>H6763</sup>, which Yahweh Elohim had taken from man, made he a woman, and brought her unto the man. <sup>23</sup> And Adam said, This is now bone of my bones, and flesh of my flesh: she shall be called Woman, because she was taken out of Man. <sup>24</sup> Therefore shall a man leave his father and his mother, and shall cleave unto his wife: and they shall be one flesh.”**

It is very important that we now consider the Hebrew word #6763, which the translators rendered in English as “rib”:

**“6763. ... tsêlâ‘, tsay-law’; or (feminine) ... tsal‘âh, tsal-aw’, from 6760; a rib (as curved), literally (of the body) or figuratively, (of a door, i.e., leaf); hence a side, literally (of a person) or figuratively (of an object or the sky, i.e., quarter); arch. a (especially floor or ceiling) timber or plank (single or collectively i.e., a flooring):– ...”**

From Strong’s electronic *Enhanced Hebrew Lexicon*:

**“6763. .... [tsêlâ‘, tsay-law’/ tsal‘âh, tsal-aw’,] noun feminine. From 6760; ... 41 occurrences; AV translates as ‘side’ 19 times, ‘chamber’ 11 times, ‘boards’ twice, ‘corners’ twice, ‘rib’ twice, ‘another’ once, ‘beams’ once, ‘halting’ once, ‘leaves’ once, and ‘planks’ once. 1 side, rib, beam. 1A rib (of man). 1B rib (of hill, ridge, etc). 1C side-chambers or cells (of temple structure). 1D rib, plank, board (of cedar or fir). 1E leaves (of door). 1F side (of ark).”**

Of all of the various Hebrew lexicons, I favor the Strong’s *Hebrew Lexicon* on the meaning of this particular word. Strong’s on both #'s 6763 and 6760, has the leading definitions as “probably to curve” and “a rib (as curved)”. Not only this, but the Septuagint and Samaritan texts have a slightly different rendering on Gen. 2:21-23. I will use Charles Thompson’s *Septuagint* to demonstrate this:

**“[21] Then God brought an ecstasy upon Adam and caused him to sleep, and took one of his ribs and filled up flesh instead thereof. [22] And God built up the rib, which He took from Adam, into a woman, and brought her to Adam. [23] And Adam said, ‘This now is bone of my bones, and flesh of my flesh, let her be called Woman.’ Because she was taken out of her man ....”** The Samaritan text also has **“taken out of her man”**. (See the 12-volume *The Interpreter’s Bible*, on Gen. 2:21-23, vol. 1, p. 449)

As I wrote in my “Angels Chained In Darkness, #1”: Once we understand that many of these terms are veiled in idiomatic language, it behooves us to break the hidden code in which they are written. In particular, “... anything wound (or coiled in a spiral), a twisted rope ...”. This definition is a perfect description of the DNA “double helix” within every cell of a mammal, vegetation or other form of life. It is a violation of Yahweh’s genetic laws that once two alien types of DNA are locked together it forms a half breed plant or animal which can never be reversed. Such creatures become a type of a third-kind. Therefore, the term “third world”, as used today to describe nonwhite peoples, is not out of order. For instance, a mule (from which we get the term mulatto) is a creature of a third-kind. There is one thing we can be very sure of, and that is the

fact that Yahweh never created a creature of a third-kind! So, that brings up a very important question: Where did all of those nonwhite creatures of a third-kind come from?

### **DATA: DEOXYRIBONUCLEIC ACID (DNA)**

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Deoxyribonucleic\\_acid](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Deoxyribonucleic_acid)

“Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) is a nucleic acid that contains the genetic instructions used in the development and functioning of all known living organisms and some viruses. The main role of DNA molecules is the long-term storage of information. DNA is often compared to a set of blueprints or a recipe, since it contains the instructions needed to construct other components of cells, such as proteins and RNA molecules. The DNA segments that carry this genetic information are called genes, but other DNA sequences have structural purposes, or are involved in regulating the use of this genetic information.

“Chemically, DNA is a long polymer of simple units called nucleotides, with a backbone made of sugars and phosphate groups joined by ester bonds. Attached to each sugar is one of four types of molecules called bases. It is the sequence of these four bases along the backbone that encodes information. This information is read using the genetic code, which specifies the sequence of the amino acids within proteins. The code is read by copying stretches of DNA into the related nucleic acid RNA, in a process called transcription.

“Within cells, DNA is organized into structures called chromosomes. These chromosomes are duplicated before cells divide, in a process called DNA replication. Eukaryotic organisms (animals, plants, and fungi) store their DNA inside the cell nucleus, while in prokaryotes (bacteria and archae) it is found in the cell’s cytoplasm. Within the chromosomes, chromatin proteins such as histones compact and organize DNA. These compact structures guide the interactions between DNA and other proteins, helping control which parts of the DNA are transcribed.”

The following is part of what I wrote about chromosomes in my essay, *The Day The Word Became Flesh*:

The very instant at which the Word became flesh is when the very first DNA of Yahweh began to intertwine with the very first DNA of Adam-man, uniting in the very first living cell to begin the birth process. This process then continued until every single cell in Immanuel’s body was united in this way. The very first cell to unite in this way was the identical time when the Word became flesh, and this all happened at what we would consider conception. This is called the Incarnation. There are some who believe that, in some way, Mary became pregnant by the sperm of Yahweh and He had a son by her. This presents problems. If this were true, Yahshua would not represent the one to whom Israel was previously married. The Scripture says that Yahweh Himself became flesh, therefore the sperm theory cannot be true. Science knows today that each single cell of the human body has two sets of 23 chromosomes, or a total of 46. I will now quote *The World Book Encyclopedia*, volume 9, page 192d:

“Every human body cell contains two sets of 23 chromosomes. These two sets look very much alike. Each chromosome in one set can be matched with a particular chromosome in the other set. Egg cells and sperm cells have only one set of 23

chromosomes. These cells are formed in a special way, and end up with only half the number of chromosomes found in body cells. As a result, when an egg and a sperm come together, the fertilized egg cell will contain the 46 chromosomes of a normal body cell. Half of the chromosomes come from the mother, and half from the father.”

I favor the DNA creation of Eve over the literal “rib” theory, as every single cell of the 46 to 68 trillion cells which make up a healthy person has all the biological data to clone an exact copy of the original person from a single cell. Therefore, it would have been a small task for Yahweh to have subtracted the Y male chromosome and replaced it with an X female chromosome to get the desired result. Hence, Eve’s relation to Adam was closer than a sister.

Actually, it is a bit more complicated than this, as in each of the 46 to 68 trillion cells people have, there is one pair of sex chromosomes for each one of these trillions of cells. The Y chromosome is present in males, who have one X and one Y chromosome in each cell, while females have two X chromosomes in each cell. Just consider the complexity of subtracting maybe 500,000 Y chromosomes found in one male rib of Adam, and replacing them with an equal amount of X chromosomes, where all this could have been accomplished by subtracting one Y chromosome from one male cell, and replacing it with one X chromosome! With this scenario, Eve would have been equivalent to a fetus at conception, and as Eve began to develop, each new group of cells would replicate in conformity with the first fetus cell having two X chromosomes in each new cell.

Be that as it may, the cell taken from Adam to create Eve very well may have been taken from one of his ribs. It will be noticed that the Thomson’s *Septuagint* at Gen. 2:22 states in part, “**And God built up the rib, which He took from Adam, into a woman ...**”

A further example of this is found in the apocryphal books. For instance, in Brenton’s *Septuagint*, at 4 Maccabees 18:7-8, we find a woman who is obviously being compared to Eve: “**7 And the righteous mother of the seven children spake also as follows to her offspring: ‘I was a pure virgin, and went not beyond my father’s house; but I took care of the built-up rib. 8 No destroyer of the desert, [or] ravisher of the plain, injured me; nor did the destructive, deceitful, snake, make spoil of my chaste virginity; and I remained with my husband during the period of my prime’.**”

One will have to decide for himself just how Yahweh took one of Adam’s ribs to bring about a woman. Whatever the process, and the time involved, Yahweh must have done something right, as after 7,500 years there are still male and female White-Caucasian babies being born. Let the mad scientists of today try to duplicate this in a test-tube with the same result!

Back to Isaiah 4:1: “**And in that day seven women shall take hold of one man, saying, We will eat our own bread, and wear our own apparel: only let us be called by thy name, to take away our reproach.**”

The only way for these seven women to have their “reproach” taken away is for them to bear a child under Yahweh’s law where both the mother and father are “kind after kind”. The command for this is found at Gen. 1:26-28 thusly:

**“<sup>26</sup> And Yahweh said, Let us make man in our image, after our likeness: and let them have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over the cattle, and over all the earth, and over every creeping thing that creepeth upon the earth. <sup>27</sup> So Yahweh created man in his *own* image, in the image of Yahweh created he him; male and female created he them. <sup>28</sup> And Yahweh blessed them, and Yahweh said unto them, Be fruitful, and multiply, and replenish [*i.e., fill*] the earth, and subdue it: and have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over every living thing that moveth upon the earth.”**

Note: I have changed the word “God” to “Yahweh” in this passage, as other passages support the premise that it indeed was Yahweh who created, formed and made Adam and Eve at Gen. 6:7-8 and Isa. 43:1, as follows:

Gen. 6:7: **“<sup>7</sup> And Yahweh said, I will destroy man<sup>120</sup> whom I have created from the face of the earth; both man, and beast, and the creeping thing, and the fowls of the air; for it repenteth me that I have made them. <sup>8</sup> But Noah found grace in the eyes of Yahweh.”**

Isa. 43:1, 7: **“<sup>1</sup> But now thus saith Yahweh that created thee, O Jacob, and he that formed thee, O Israel, Fear not: for I have redeemed thee, I have called *thee* by thy name; thou *art* mine ... <sup>7</sup> *Even every one that is called by my name: for I have created him for my glory, I have formed him; yea, I have made him.”***

There is absolutely no record that Yahweh ever created, formed or made any other race of people than the White-Adamic-Caucasian-European and directly related families! And at Gen. 1:28 Yahweh commanded Adam and Eve thusly:

**“And Yahweh blessed them, and Yahweh said unto them (i.e., Adam and Eve), Be fruitful, and multiply, and fill the earth, and subdue it: and have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over every living thing that moveth upon the earth.”**

This excludes all the nonwhite people, as they don’t have the breathed-in spirit of life given to Adam. Thus they are the walking-dead, or zombies, and **“be fruitful and multiply”** doesn’t apply to them. But **“be fruitful and multiply”** does apply to Adam and Eve and their descendants, so this is where our **“seven women shall take hold of one man”** comes into play by Yahweh’s permissive will, as it is Yahweh’s Sovereign Will for Adamic-women to have children!

No doubt, some of the more judgmental will regard these **“seven women [*who*] shall take hold of one man”** as being very repulsive, and the very image of wickedness. Actually, just the opposite is true, as maybe for the first time, these seven women are making an attempt to do that which is righteous in the sight of the Almighty! No doubt some of these seven women committed the same “transgression” as Eve did, by having intercourse with someone not of her Adamic race. This is going on big-time today, as our women have been deceived by a boldfaced lie right out of the pits of hell. These women who are doing this have been brainwashed into believing that should they give birth to a mulatto child, that child will be more intelligent than a pure White child! Here, again, the opposite is true. The media have just revealed in the last few days that some of the more widely known and acclaimed colleges have been admitting



negroes with the mental IQ development of a 3rd or 4th grader for the last 30 to 40 years. One young negro, probably in his 20s, admitted that one of the subjects he got credit for had no assigned class room, so it was impossible to attend. This same news item indicated where some did have a class room, they were directed by the one in charge to simply copy and paste something at random from the Internet, so these low IQ blacks got credit for something someone else authored. (See these and other Internet websites):

[http://www.slate.com/blogs/moneybox/2014/06/03/for\\_profit\\_college\\_sleaze\\_everest\\_admits\\_a\\_student\\_with\\_a\\_third\\_grade\\_reading.html](http://www.slate.com/blogs/moneybox/2014/06/03/for_profit_college_sleaze_everest_admits_a_student_with_a_third_grade_reading.html)

which also leads one to:

<http://www.republicreport.org/2014/for-profit-college-student-reads-third-grade/>

and

<http://chronicle.com/article/The-Education-of-Dasmine-Cathey/132065/>

This isn't the only downright lie that these young White-Adamite women are told! In addition, the educational institutions tell these innocent young White girls that if they will birth half-breed children, there will be no more wars! All of this brainwashing leaves these young women in a state of mind where they believe they are doing the Almighty a favor to mix their race. Some would even say: "It's the Christian thing to do!"

From an article at another website entitled: CNN analysis: Some college athletes play like adults, read like 5th-graders, we read in part:

<http://www.cnn.com/2014/01/07/us/ncaa-athletes-reading-scores/index.html>

... **“Academic vs. athletic scandal:** As a graduate student at UNC-Greensboro, Willingham researched the reading levels of 183 UNC-Chapel Hill athletes who played football or basketball from 2004 to 2012. She found that 60% read between fourth- and eighth-grade levels. Between 8% and 10% read below a third-grade level.

“So what are the classes they are going to take to get a degree here? You cannot come here with a third-, fourth- or fifth-grade education and get a degree here’, she told CNN.

“The issue was highlighted at UNC two years ago with the exposure of a scandal where students, many of them athletes, were given grades for classes they didn't attend, and where they did nothing more than turn in a single paper. Last month, a North Carolina grand jury indicted a professor at the center of the scandal on fraud charges. He's accused of being paid \$12,000 for a class he didn't teach.

“When Willingham worked as a learning specialist for athletes from 2003 to 2010, she admits she took part in cheating, signing her name to forms that said she witnessed no NCAA rules violations when in fact she did. But the NCAA, the college sports organizing body, never interviewed her. Instead, it found no rules had been broken at Chapel Hill.

“UNC now says 120 reforms put in place ensure there are no academic transgressions.

“But Willingham said fake classes were just a symptom of the bigger problem of enrolling good athletes who didn't have the reading skills to succeed at college.

“Isn’t it all cheating if I’m sitting at a table with a kid who can’t read or write at college level and pulling a paper out of them? Is this really legitimate? No,’ Willingham told CNN. ‘I wouldn’t do that today with a college student; I only did it with athletes, because it’s necessary.’

“NCAA sports are big business, with millions of dollars at stake for winning programs.

“In 2012, the University of Louisville earned a profit of \$26.9 million from its men’s basketball program, according to figures that schools have to file with the Department of Education and were analyzed by CNNMoney. That’s about 60% more than the \$16.9 million profit at the University of North Carolina, whose mens’ hoops team had the second-largest profit.

“Willingham, now a graduation adviser with access to student files, said she believes there are still athletes at UNC who can’t do the coursework.

“UNC Athletics Director Bubba Cunningham told CNN the school admits only students it believes can succeed.

“‘I think our students have an exceptional experience in the classroom as well as on the fields of competition,’ he said.

“Anecdotally, NCAA officials admit there are probably stories that are troubling, but they also say the vast majority of student-athletes compete at a high level in the classroom.

“‘Are there students coming to college underprepared? Sure. They are not just student-athletes,’ said Kevin Lennon, vice president of academic and membership affairs at the NCAA.

“But he said the NCAA sees it as the responsibility of universities to decide what level athletes should be admitted to their schools.

“‘Once the school admits them, the school should do everything it can to make sure the student succeeds,’ he said. ‘(Universities) don’t want a national standard that says who they can recruit and admit’ ...”

This doesn’t include the colleges giving the negroes a 10 to 15% advantage on all tests! The question is: How will Yahweh rectify all of this at Isaiah 4:1? Will these “seven women” be given a second chance, as Eve was given a second chance to bear a true-born child?