WATCHMAN'S TEACHING LETTER

Monthly Letter #118; February, 2008 By: Teacher Clifton A. Emahiser 1012 N. Vine Street, Fostoria, Ohio 44830; Ph. (419)435-2836

Fax (419)-435-7571; E-mail caemahiser@sbcglobal.net

TO THOSE WHOM THE COVENANT BELONGS

A NON-UNIVERSAL CULTURE AWARENESS INSTRUCTIONAL PUBLICATION

This is a non-copyrighted teaching letter. Please feel free to make as many copies as you wish, but not to edit.

A MONTHLY TEACHING LETTER

This is my one hundred and eighteenth monthly teaching letter and continues my tenth year of publication. In this letter, we will continue to explore the writings of the apostle Paul. In the last WTL, #117 I tried to demonstrate how, during the transition period recorded in the book of Acts, Spirit baptism took precedence over water baptism. As for myself, I'm an advocate of baptism by the Word of Yahweh. I believe many overlook the power of the Word. In fact, Hebrews 4:12 states: "For the word of Yahweh is quick, and powerful, and sharper than any twoedged sword, piercing even to the dividing asunder of soul and spirit, and of the joints and marrow, and is a discerner of the thoughts and intents of the heart." Now I've never read where water baptism could compare with that. The blood is germinated in the marrow of the bone, and anything that can penetrate that deep can make a person physically ill. Yes, by quoting Scripture, one has a powerful weapon at hand! The purpose of this lesson will be to show the more important functions of the Word. In Paul's epistle of 2 Timothy 3:16 we read: "All scripture is given by inspiration of Yahweh, and is profitable for doctrine, for reproof, for correction, for instruction in righteousness." Thus we see there are four areas in which Scripture will assist us: (1) doctrine, (2) reproof, (3) correction, and (4) instruction in righteousness.

There is a category of people who consider they already have a perfect doctrine and need no reproof, correction or instruction. These type of people usually claim they speak directly with "God", so there is no need to consult the Bible. I know of one man in Israel Identity whose mother had a dream that her son was Jesus Christ reincarnated, and as far as I know, he believed his mother's dream and took that concept to the grave with him. Had his mother or he ever taken the Bible seriously, they both would have known better. Like the pope, such "infallible" people aren't candidates for doctrine, reproof, correction or instruction! There's nothing that turns me off any quicker than someone who wants to tell me all about who they were in several former lifetimes or about some near death experience.

There is a particular order for these four inspirational aspects. It's not something that we pick up helter-skelter, jumping around all over the place; first doctrine, then

reproof, then correction, and lastly instruction in righteousness. In other words, one cannot receive reproof before proper doctrine, nor can one receive correction before one receives reproof, not can one receive instruction in righteousness before one receives correction. By inspiration of the Holy Spirit, Paul's writings have been arranged in this order in our Bibles: first doctrine, then reproof, then correction, and lastly instruction in righteousness. Not that he planned it that way, for he surely didn't, but that is the order in which we find them today.

Actually, Paul's first epistle was not the book of Romans, but through the guidance of the Holy Spirit, it was placed first among his letters. And being placed first, it is a book of doctrine. So if one desires to understand doctrine, start with Romans. It is not so much the order in which Paul wrote his various books, but the order in which they were finally arranged in our Bibles. In other words, the book of Romans does not chronologically start where the book of Acts leaves off, but doctrinally it does. As we learned before, the book of Acts is a book of transition, and what was true at the beginning of Acts was not necessarily true at the end. Therefore, the book of Romans is a book of doctrine whereas the book of Acts is not.

When one learns the Israel Identity message, one must wipe the slate clean of everything that he thought he knew, and start all over again. That is what I found to be necessary, and I did it! And the order for starting all over again is: first doctrine, then reproof, then correction, and lastly instruction in righteousness.

Now it's kind of unique that all of Paul's epistles to the assemblies, especially the seven that we're going to discuss here, all fall into the category of doctrine, reproof, correction and instruction in righteousness. But we will bypass 1st & 2nd Timothy, Titus, Philemon and Hebrews. We need to realize that all Scripture from Genesis to Revelation is a progressive revelation, and Paul's letters are no exception. In other words, as we progress through the Old Testament into the New, Yahweh was always revealing something that the people back at the beginning didn't know. As we make our way into the New Testament, Yahweh begins to reveal things that weren't revealed in the Old, and this is especially true when we get into the apostle Paul's writings.

This should show how beautifully our Bible is put together. Paul didn't simply sit down and contemplate, "Now how can I write this, I'll have to be able to put doctrine first, then I'll have to somehow follow by addressing the area of reproof." Surely, Paul never considered such a thing when he wrote his letters. Paul simply wrote as the Holy Spirit inspired him to write, and then sent his letters off to the various assemblies by courier, and the Spirit established the sequence. Paul used a lady named Phoebe to carry his epistle to the Romans, from Corinth to Rome. He sent some of his other letters via friends such as Titus and Timothy.

Gal. 1:17-18 records that Paul sojourned to both: (1) Arabia (i.e. east Syrian desert, (an extension of Nabataea) – not "mount Sinai of Arabia" at Gal. 4:25) and, (2) Damascus, consuming three years for his reeducation. Thence he journeyed to Jerusalem, after which he began his missionary journeys sometime around the early 40's A.D.

Leaving Paul's preparation for the Gospel, we turn to his books of Romans, 1st & 2nd Corinthians and Galatians. Romans was written about 56-57 A.D., while Paul

was anticipating his arrival in Jerusalem (compare Acts 20:22 with Rom. 15:25, 31). Galatians was written earlier, around 54 A.D. 1st Corinthians was definitely written from Ephesus (16:8, 19) early in 55 A.D., and the 2nd book followed shortly after. Those four letters were written during his time of missionary travels. On his journeys he would expound to his followers out of the Old Testament, for as yet there was no New Testament. So, everywhere Paul went he had to simply speak the Word verbally, inasmuch as the Old Testament and his own revelations were his only sources.

Later we will examine 1st & 2nd Thessalonians which are at the very end of the seven letters to the assemblies, and they'll be the ones that are the "instruction in righteousness". But amazingly, even though they're at the end of the line of the revelation given to Paul, these were actually written about 57-58 A.D. But even though the apostle Paul wrote these early, the Holy Spirit saw fit to place them at the end of his letters to the assemblies.

Then about 40 A.D., Paul began his missionary journeys up into Asia Minor around the city of Antioch in Syria. During those years, from 40 A.D. until he was imprisoned probably about 57 A.D., covering a period of about 17 years, he was constantly appealing to his kinsmen good-fig-Judaeans (not to be confused with the non-believing bad-fig-Edomite-Canaanite-jews) on the basis of the Old Testament, but he had by that time written Romans which is a book of doctrine. So even though Galatians was written earlier, the Holy Spirit put Romans in our New Testament exactly where it belongs because all Scripture is given and is profitable first of all for **doctrine**. Then the next two books that we're interested in are 1st & 2nd Corinthians, which were written for the benefit of **reproof**. When examining the Corinthian letters, what areas do we find where they were in need of reproof? Among their many troubles, they had problems with immorality; they had dissension in the assemblies; they had divisions; they were having problems with legal matters with one another; they had contention with what they could eat and couldn't. The assembly was just beset with all kinds of problems. So Paul had to give them reproof concerning these many things in the two letters of 1st & 2nd Corinthians. But all of these reproofs are still appropriate for us today. So up to this point we have Paul's book of Romans for our doctrine and his two books to the Corinthians for our reproof.

We next come to the book of Galatians, and even though it was written earlier, it is the fourth in the order of the epistles to the assemblies. Now we find in Galatians a book written for our "correction". But the question must be asked, for what reason did the Galatians need correction? The answer is: they were slipping off course by going back into legalism. So Paul wrote the epistle to the Galatians to simply bring them back to the principle beliefs he had originally taught them. During his absence, teachers came from Judaea called "Judaizers", and insisted that these ethnê believers could not be true Christians until they submitted to the ordinance of circumcision. Furthermore, they maintained that these Galatians must adhere to the rituals under the law of Moses. These naive Galatians then accepted their teachings just as enthusiastically as they had originally accepted Paul's. Therefore, the purpose of the epistle to the Galatians was to combat such a vicious heresy: in which the work of Christ in His sacrificial death on the cross, His burial, and His resurrection were considered insufficient for

redemption. Paul then found it necessary to disprove the Judaizers' claim that he was not a true apostle. They falsely maintained that since he was not one of the twelve original apostles, he must have received his teachings and doctrines second-handed from the other apostles. Paul then showed that he was in every way equal with the original apostles, for he received his doctrine in the form of revelations straight from Yahshua Christ Himself (Gal. 1:11-19). Paul even found it necessary to rebuke the apostle Peter when there was a dispute whether he, as a Judaean, should be allowed to disregard the Mosaic law of eating with the unclean ethnê (Gal. 2:11-14). Yet Peter had done the same thing when he communed with Cornelius and his family. So we see that not even the apostles were immune to correction. For the Galatians, the threat of the Judaizers came to an end in 70 A.D. when the Romans (the seed of the woman) "... shall [and did] bruise Satan under your feet shortly ..." How do you like that (out of the book of doctrine), at Romans 16:20? But the seed of the serpent (Satan) is still around and in need of further bruising! So now we have Paul's book of Romans for our doctrine, and his two books to the Corinthians for our reproof, and his book of Galatians for our correction. Did not Yahshua Christ Himself say, "... beware of the leaven (teachings) of the Pharisees and of the Sadducees "? (Matt. 16:6).

So far we have considered Romans, 1st & 2nd Corinthians and Galatians concerning **doctrine**, **reproof** and **correction**. But now we reach a higher level (you might say a plateau) above Romans, 1st & 2nd Corinthians and Galatians, and when we reach the letter to the Ephesians we come to what is called the prison epistles. After Acts 28, while in prison, Paul wrote Ephesians, Philippians and Colossians, and we're going to have the same format that we had with Romans, 1st & 2nd Corinthians, and Galatians. Except when we get into Ephesians we are going to be at a higher level of **doctrine**. Philippians will be on a higher level of **reproof**, and Colossians will be on a higher level of **correction**. Now one will not comprehend all of this until we cover these latter three named epistles.

Before we start on the book of Ephesians there is one more segment of this unfolding of the epistles of Paul to the assemblies, and that is the 1st & 2nd Thessalonian letters. Momentarily, we should consider Acts 28:25-28, where Paul again observed that the bad-fig-jew nation was continually rejecting the Gospel, so he quoted Isa. 6: 9-10, where the prophet was commissioned to preach the word to a people dull of heart, deaf ears, and blind eyes, whereupon, Paul announced he was taking the Gospel to the ethnê (lost Israel nations). Then, while in prison, he wrote what we term his prison epistles of Ephesians, Philippians and Colossians. These three epistles are iust a jump-up, doctrinally in depth and understanding, above those we had back in Romans, the two Corinthians, and Galatians. Then we arrive at his letters that were written earlier, but in reality are final in their content, and those are 1st & 2nd Thessalonians, which also follow the format of doctrine, reproof and correction. Now we shall take up the topic of the **instruction in righteousness**. Again, it is on an even higher plateau than what we saw in his prison epistles, because at 1st & 2nd Thessalonians, where does the Word take us? The answer is to a higher plane both morally and spiritually. Remember 1st Thessalonians chapter 4, where they were admonished that they were to separate (sanctify) themselves from fornication (race-

mixing) at verses 3 and 4! Surely that same thing should apply to us today, for are we not, as a people, engaging in interracial fornication on an unprecedented scale? (See "fornication" also at 1st Cor. 10:8.) That they should not defraud their brother, verse 6! Surely, we, by buying foreign products today, defraud our White brothers! That they keep their business among themselves and were to do labor with their own hands, verse 11! Again, this can apply to us today, for are we not sending our jobs to alien nations, and in turn purchasing those foreign-made products in order to save a few dollars? We really have to hate our White brothers to do such a thing! If we would refuse to purchase those foreign-made products, our jobs would return and the foreignmade products would vanish! They were also to look forward to a time of resurrection from the dead as also Yahshua Christ was raised, verses 13-17! If this isn't instruction in righteousness (both past and present), I surely don't know what IT COULD BE! Paul speaks of the "... righteousness of Yahweh ..." at Romans 1:17, yet that is not our topic here, rather it is the instruction in righteousness for man. Yahweh has no need for instruction in righteousness! There is no greater instruction in righteousness than keeping one's genetics pure, for which reason Noah was also accorded the honor of being "righteous".

The result of the instruction in righteousness can be summed up with the passage at 2 Thessalonians 1:4-6: "⁴ Consequently we ourselves are boasting in you among the assemblies of Yahweh, for your endurance and faith in all your persecutions and the afflictions which you are bearing: ⁵ a token of the righteous judgement of Yahweh, for which you are to be deemed worthy of the kingdom of Yahweh, for which you also suffer. ⁶ For indeed it is righteous with Yahweh to repay those afflicting you with affliction ..." (translation by William Finck).

It should be pointed out that not all books in all New Testaments are in the same order, with the exception of the writings of the apostle Paul. One will find sometimes in various copies of antiquity a different successive order for the books. In other words, New Testaments in libraries across the world are not always successively Matthew, Mark, Luke, and John. The four Gospels may be mixed-up in various combinations, as well the little epistles of Peter and John, as they're not always in the same order we are familiar with. But every one of Paul's epistles are always (in nearly every copy of the New Testament that's available) in the same order that we have them. Now that should tell us that the Holy Spirit was in total control when the men who met (approximately 350 A.D.) to put the cannon of Scripture together formulating our New Testament. So be aware that Yahweh has always carefully brooded over these Pauline epistles, because they are of special importance for us today. Also be aware today of those who are proclaiming Paul's epistles to be uninspired!

We now reach a higher plateau that goes even above Romans, 1st & 2nd Corinthians and Galatians, and when we arrive at the letter to the Ephesians we come to what are known as the prison epistles. At Acts 28, while in prison, Paul wrote Ephesians, Philippians and Colossians, and as you will see we're going to have the same format that we had with Romans, 1st & 2nd Corinthians, and Galatians. Except Ephesians will be on a **higher** level of **doctrine**, Philippians will be on a **higher** level of

reproof, and Colossians will be on a **higher** level of **correction**. Here's a book by book analysis:

•	Romans	Doctrine	Ekklesia Ministry
•	1st & 2nd Cor.	Reproof	Ekklesia Ministry
•	Galatians	Correction	Ekklesia Ministry
•	Ephesians	Higher Doctrine	Prison Ministry
•	Philippians	Higher Reproof	Prison Ministry
•	Colossians	Higher Correction	Prison Ministry
•	1 & 2 Thess.	Righteous Instruction	Ekklesia Ministry

What I was unable to show in 1st & 2nd Thessalonians is the fact that originally that ekklesia was established by Paul with the help of Silas. In a later phase of that ministry, Paul, understanding that the Thessalonians were not yet well grounded in doctrine, desired to return there, but was hindered by Satan. Consequently, he sent Timothy to complete the work he had begun. But one must remember that Timothy worked under Paul's instruction. Because Paul's ministry was cut short with the Thessalonians, and they began to form several eschatological misconceptions, it was necessary for them to receive some **instruction in righteousness**. Today eschatological misconceptions are running rampant throughout Christendom under many guises, and like the Thessalonians, we are desperately in need of **instruction in righteousness**. And like Noah, righteousness is purity of race! Today we are receiving very little righteous-racial teaching, but are being bombarded with unrighteous multiculturalism, even from the pulpits. Therefore, churchianity has bought Satan's agenda hook, line and sinker!

An attempt will now be made to cover Ephesians, Philippians and Colossians in the short space we have here. These three represent a higher level of **doctrine**, **reproof** and **correction** respectively. The first of these three to be addressed will be Ephesians, where a higher level of **doctrine** will be expounded.

After Paul's salutation, he drops a bombshell by informing the Ephesians that they had been chosen "before the foundation of the world." How phony is all this business where we are told: "Because the [bad-fig] jews rejected Christ, Yahweh turned to the Gentiles." Poor old God, can't do anything right! The term "Gentiles" is an improper translation from the Greek ethnos into Latin, and should, in most cases, have been translated "nations" (representing the nations which the lost tribes of Israel in their dispersion had formed). Yes, like Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, the ancestors of the Ephesians, they were chosen before the foundation of the world! With this bit of higher doctrine, I'm sure Paul got their attention! Maybe we should use the same approach today! Peter understood this when he said at 1 Peter 2:9: "But ye are a chosen race, a royal priesthood, an holy nation, a peculiar people; that ye should shew forth the praises of him who hath called you out of darkness into his marvellous light." And Peter wasn't addressing the bad-fig-jews who were/are in actuality half-breed Canaanites! It is important to differentiate between the good-fig-Judahites of racially pure Judah and the half-breed bad-fig-jews of Jeremiah 24, or we will be completely confused. And wherever the term "Jew" is used in our Bible, it would

be advisable to determine the context in order to understand which of these two categories it is speaking of! Also it would be well to analyze the term to determine if it is speaking racially, nationally, religiously, politically or geographically. For instance, Paul was a Benjamite whose nationality was Judaean and was living in Tarsus. Calling Paul a "jew" is highly inaccurate!

Some see a contradiction between what Paul announced to the Ephesians at 1:4 with Mark 8:34. They comment thusly: "On the one hand we have 'whosoever will may come', but on the other we have this verse in Ephesians that says you were chosen 'before the foundation of the world'. It is impossible to understand that over here we have 'whosoever will may come', and over here we have chosen 'before the foundation of the world'. The two are contradictory, so let's leave it in God's hands." Had they read Mark 8:34-38 a little more carefully, they might have discovered the context of the two passages are entirely unrelated! After all, it's not everyone who is called to be a disciple (Luke 14:26-27)! Paul is still correct at Eph. 1:4! Neither does the "whosoever" of Rev. 22:17 fit this.

Not only chosen "before the foundation of the world", but "predestinated us unto the adoption of children by Yahshua Christ to himself" at verse 5. Also "redemption [purchased back] through his blood" at verse 7. This can only be referring to Yahweh's divorce of Israel for unfaithfulness, where under the law one cannot remarry a former divorced spouse unless one of the divorced parties die. In the case of Israel, Yahweh decided to come in the flesh as Yahshua and died to satisfy the law, whereby He could remarry her. Therefore, Yahshua Christ died for the twelve tribes of Israel, and Israel alone! This is only a sample of the **higher** level of **doctrine** to be found in Ephesians!

The next one we will address is the book of Philippians which represents a **higher** level of **reproof**. Paul had founded the ekklesia at Philippi, but got into trouble for casting an unclean spirit out of a slave girl for which he and Silas were imprisoned. This, in turn, led to the conversion of a jailer and his family (Acts 16:26-34).

It is apparent from the language of the four chapters of this short book, that the Philippian people were some of the finest people that ever walked the face of the earth! But in spite of all their good qualities, they had a weak area for which they needed to be scolded. I'm not speaking of a light offense, or something trivial. I'm speaking of something that is very serious, and something for which we need that same reproof today. This wretched trait for which the Philippians were guilty is addressed by Paul at Phil. 3:2-3 where he states: "2 Beware of dogs, beware of evil workers, beware of the concision. ³ For we are the circumcision, which worship Yahweh in the spirit, and rejoice in Christ Yahshua, and have no confidence in the flesh." Where else in Scripture have we read of someone being referred to as a "dog"? The answer is, it was from Yahshua Christ Himself who had encountered a Canaanite woman who requested of Him to heal her daughter. Hence all bad-fig-Canaanite-jews are "dogs". and that was to whom Paul was referring! In verse 2, he gave us three characteristics by which to identify them: (1) "Beware of dogs", (2) "beware of evil workers", and (3) "beware of the concision". Then in verse 3, Paul described the difference between a bad-fig-jew and a true-blooded Israelite, where he said (and I will amplify it for understanding): "For we are the circumcision [made without hands], which worship

Yahweh in the spirit, and rejoice in Christ Yahshua, and have no confidence in the flesh[-born (rather than the White spirit-born)]."

Today we're doing the same thing the Philippians were doing in their day, mollycoddling the bad-fig-jews! Answer me this: Is this a **higher** level of **reproof**, or isn't it? Since showing how Paul warned the Philippians to beware of dogs, there are some who will start accusing Paul of being anti-Semitic. They're accusing Paul of everything else, so they might just as well accuse him of that one too! I could probably cite several other marginal items in Philippians which might fall under the category of **reproof**, but I believe I've explained the major one. So a dog is a dog is a dog...!

This brings us to the book of Colossians, a book of higher correction. Colossians contains so many truisms and admonitions written by Paul that if all of them were covered exhaustively, they would fill volumes. All of Paul's epistles are comparable to a fireworks display with Colossians being the grand finale, for with this epistle he really explodes on many subjects. The idea of correction is somewhat like launching a spacecraft to the moon. Once the craft is free of the effects of earth's gravity, the next step is to make corrections in its trajectory. It is necessary for this process to take place early, or the craft might miss the moon's orbit by thousands of miles. So it is correct, correct, correct, as many times as necessary. It is also important for the spacecraft to make critical midcourse corrections. So likewise with Paul in his letter to the Colossians, it's correct, correct, correct, correct! One of the major things for which the Colossians were in need of correction is found at 2:18, where Paul states: "Let no man beguile you of your reward in a voluntary humility and worshipping of angels, intruding into those things which he hath not seen, vainly puffed up by his fleshly mind."

False teachers had infiltrated the ekklesia at Colossae, and were bringing in many heresies, among them the worship of angels. This ekklesia was established by Epaphrus and Timothy rather than Paul, but these two were under his charge.

William Finch addressed this with his *The Problem With Genesis 6:1-4* in *WTL* #114 thusly: "Furthermore, it is also now possible to understand how Paul of Tarsus could blame angels for the world's false religions, as is apparent at Col. 2:18 and 1 Cor. 10:20. Col. 2:17-19 reads in part: '... Whereas the body is of the Anointed, ¹⁸ let no one find you unworthy of reward, being willing with humiliation even in worship of the Messengers [angels]; stepping into things which one sees, heedlessly inflated by the mind of one's flesh, ¹⁹ and not grasping the Head ...', and the 'worship of angels' can in context only refer to the pagan religions which the Greek Colossians had at one time followed." So one can clearly see just how dangerous a dilemma Paul was up against! And because Paul was in chains, he had to address it indirectly with his epistle rather than by personally going to them. But that turned out to be a blessing for us, as we can now be **corrected** by the same epistle, and a **higher correction** at that! As it turned out, Paul was more effective in prison than out. Had he gone personally to Colossae, he would have had the same message for them, but chances are we would have no record of it today for our admonition.

Another place where Paul uses correction for a guiding concept to keep the Colossians on a proper course is at 3:5, where he writes: "Mortify therefore your

members which are upon the earth; fornication, uncleanness, inordinate affection, evil concupiscence, and covetousness, which is idolatry."

I will amplify this verse for a better understanding: "Mortify therefore [subdue by abstinence, inflict pain on] your [sexual] members which are upon the earth; fornication [which is race-mixing], uncleanness [which is keeping company with the nonwhite races], inordinate affection [homosexuality], evil concupiscence [strong or abnormal desire or appetite for interracial sex], and covetousness [for the same], which is idolatry." (cf. Numbers ch. 25)

To give the reader an idea of what is being said here I will use the following illustration: Would you build a brand new home and while it is still sparkling clean and the smell of newness is still in the air, then hook it to a sewer which would backup into it? One might even get a high-pressure sprayer and pump the effluent from the sewer and spray it all over the walls, ceilings and floors, along with the interior of the bathroom and all over the kitchen, in the cupboards and into the refrigerator, along with the bedding in the bedrooms. You wouldn't do that, would you? But that is what one is doing when he invites a nonwhite into his home, social or business activities, or allows them to become intimately involved with a family member. These other races are sewer-people and Scripture categorizes them as "unclean". The bad-fig-jews are sewer-people; the arabs and turks are sewer-people; the mexicans are sewer-people! And all the other two-legged unclean enosh creatures are sewer-people! Higher correction by Paul? You bet it is!

At Col. 1:12, Paul speaks of the Colossians as "... partakers of the inheritance of the saints in light ..." Thus, to be partakers of the inheritance would necessitate that they be some of the lost Israelites. Then in verse 13 it speaks of these lost Israelites being delivered from the power of darkness and translated into the kingdom of Yahshua Christ. Then in verse 14 "... in whom we have redemption [a purchasing back] through his blood ..." Israel had been unfaithful to her husband, Yahweh, and sold themselves into sin. Therefore, Yahweh divorced them, and they became "not a people", thus "darkness".

Then at verse 21, it speaks of these divorced Israelites as aliens which the divorce accomplished: "And you, that were sometime alienated and enemies in your mind by wicked works, yet now hath he reconciled." Yahshua didn't reconcile any bad-fig-jew sewer-people, but rather good-fig-Judahites and Israelites!

At Col. 2:8, Paul does some more correcting where he wrote: "Beware lest any man spoil you through philosophy and vain deceit, after the tradition of men, after the rudiments of the world, and not after Christ." Today, "the rudiments of the world" is multiculturalism. Multiculturalism is Satan's primary agenda, and 99.999% of the world's population is following, and in favor of it. It's become the popular thing to do! They can hardly wait until everyone is a sewer-person! Then at verse 11, Paul explains that the true-blooded Israelites are the "circumcised made without hands", rather than the way the bad-fig-jews do it. Then at verse 14, Paul speaks about "Blotting out the handwriting of ordinances that was against us ..." They didn't have erasers back in those days, so they took their pen and kept scribbling until the entry was completely covered-up with ink. The particular ordinance which was against us

regarded remarriage after once being divorced. Thus, by Yahweh coming in the flesh as Yahshua and dying, it satisfied that ordinance, canceling (blotting) it out. And anyone who claims that Yahshua died for "whosoever" accuses Him of committing adultery. Yahshua didn't come to marry sewer-people! He was only married to Israel before, and He'll only be married to Israel after! Sewer-people? You have to be kidding! And to advocate a trinity is to argue that Christ came to marry His Father's former wife!

Then at Col. 3:11, we have a verse for which few understand the context. I will amplify it so we can comprehend the meaning: "Where there is neither Greek [Israelite] nor Judaean [Israelite], circumcision [Israelite] nor uncircumcision [Israelite], Barbarian [Israelite], Scythian [Israelite], bond [Israelite] nor free [Israelite]: but Christ is all, and in all."

Then at Col. 3:18-22, Paul gives instructions for a correct family relationship of wives, husbands, children, fathers, servants and masters. Though we no longer have slavery, it would not be out-of-order to substitute employee for servant and employer for master, as long as they are pureblooded Israelites. In too many cases the bad-fig-jews have become our masters, for which I don't see any reason to implement Paul's directive as it concerns them.

You will notice here that I did not include 1st & 2nd Timothy, as it didn't fit the format I was using. Not that the letters to Timothy are not important. Actually, Timothy was not entirely overlooked as I used 2 Timothy 3:16 for my format, and I will repeat it here: "All scripture is given by inspiration of Yahweh, and is profitable for doctrine, for reproof, for correction, for instruction in righteousness." Much more could have been cited from Paul under these topics.