RESURRECTION LIFE, HOW, WHEN, & FOR WHOM?

Clifton A. Emahiser's Teaching Ministries 1012 N. Vine Street, Fostoria, Ohio 44830 Phone (419)435-2836, Fax (419)435-7571 E-mail caemahiser@sbcglobal.net

Please Feel Free To Copy, But Not To Edit

There is probably no other Biblical topic with so many and varied concepts as the Resurrection. Each little splinter-group has its own interpretation, and usually applies it to their own sect. But at 2 Peter 1:20 he says: "Knowing this first, that no prophecy of the scripture is of any private interpretation." To this we must ask, "Does the Resurrection fall under the category of prophecy?" It would appear that it might be a good idea to see what Scripture has to say on the subject. When studying a topic in Scripture it is always a good idea to use the rule of first mention. The first mention alluding to eternal life is found at Genesis 3:22: "And Yahweh singular Elohim said, Behold, the man is become as one of us, to know good and evil: and now, lest he put forth his hand, and take also of the tree of life, and eat, and live for ever." The only tree through which we can receive eternal life is Yahshua the Messiah, and Yahshua was no more a physical wooden tree than "... the tree of knowledge of good and evil ..." (Gen. 2:9). This is metaphorical language for living entities (Yahweh and Satan). The Bible uses the metaphor "dry tree" for a eunuch (Isa. 56:3). Yet there are those who demand that every Biblical tree be a wooden tree. They even demand that Eve ate from a wooden tree! Thus, at Genesis 3:22 we have the first allusion to eternal life. (Also cross reference Rev. 2:7; 22:2.)

It is amazing, but there are many who don't believe in a bodily resurrection, that somehow it will be only spiritual. There is probably no other Biblical doctrine so twisted entirely out-of-shape. Let's read John 5:28: "**Marvel not at this: for the hour is coming, in the which all that are in the graves shall hear his voice ...**" Would not this be similar to when Yahshua Christ called Lazarus from the dead, except he was resurrected only to die again; John 11:43? However, this passage is resurrection to life eternal. And, as we shall see later, the term "all" must be qualified.

Continuing at verse 29: "And shall come forth; they that have done good, unto the resurrection of life; and they that have done evil, unto the resurrection of judgment." Well, how many resurrections do we have here? Two, of course. There are a lot who teach a general resurrection. Just think of the amount of confusion that would cause. These are two different resurrections at two different times. And the first of these two resurrections is not going to happen just at the beginning of a so-called "rapture", followed by a so-called "seven years of tribulation" as the futurists claim! Rather, life will be continuing on, similar to how we observe it today, and as Paul describes it at 1 Cor. 15:51-52: "⁵¹ Behold, I shew you a mystery; We shall not all sleep, but we

shall all be changed, ⁵² In a moment, in the twinkling of an eye, at the last trump: for the trumpet shall sound, and the dead shall be raised incorruptible, and we shall be changed."

This will be an interesting period of time to experience for all those who will go through it. It will be a judgment in itself. Imagine all the embarrassed pastors who were teaching false doctrine, not receiving a glorified body while some in their congregations do! Consider the spouse who receives a glorified body, but the mate who does not because of having a tinge of racial impurity! Envision the surprise of many who had family or friends who recently died who everyone considered outstanding Christians who are not resurrected, while many who were not considered Christian are. Ponder why some are not included, even though racially pure, simply because they dealt deceitfully, confiscating someone else's valuable lawful possessions. Also, contemplate the wonderment of people when some will suddenly appear to be about 30 rather than 70 or 80. And think of the amazement, when it is discovered, that not a single member of a non-white or half-breed are included. So the "all" at John 5:28 does not include "all" races! William Finck's translation of Phil. 2:14-15 reads: "¹⁴ Do all things apart from murmuring and disputing, ¹⁵ that you would be perfect and with unmixed blood, blameless children of Yahweh in the midst of a race crooked and perverted - among whom you appear as luminaries in the cosmos ..."

But the biggest question is: What are we going to do with all the aborted and stillborn White babies, along with those who died before accountability? Surely, they will not be in the resurrection of the condemned. Picture in your mind the distraught catholics whose current pope receives not an immortal body. Nor shall John-Paul, the recent bad-fig-jewish pope, be resurrected.

Those of the resurrection to life appear to be raised all at the same time, but that doesn't seem to be the case with those resurrected to judgment. 1 Cor. 15:22-24: "22 For as in Adam all die, even so in Christ shall all be made alive. ²³ But every man in his own order: Christ the firstfruits; afterward they that are Christ's at his coming.²⁴ Then *cometh* the end, when he shall have delivered up the kingdom to Yahweh, even the Father; when he shall have put down all rule and all authority and power." From 1 Cor. 15:51-52, it is clear that both the "resurrected" and those "changed" will be instantaneous, while at 1 Cor. 15:22-24 it is "every man in his own order". That each man will be judged separately is clear at 1 Cor. 3:11-15: "¹¹ For other foundation can no man lay than that is laid, which is Yahshua Christ. ¹² Now if any man build upon this foundation gold, silver, precious stones, wood, hay, stubble: ¹³ Every man's work shall be made manifest: for the day shall declare it, because it shall be revealed by fire; and the fire shall try every man's work of what sort it is. ¹⁴ If any man's work abide which he hath built thereupon, he shall receive a reward. ¹⁵ If any man's work shall be burned, he shall suffer loss: but he himself shall be saved; yet so as by fire."

There are two categories of works here to be judged, those which will stand the test of fire, and those which will not. Thus, all false doctrines will be burned up, never to be promoted again, and those advancing them will find themselves empty-handed before Yahshua, totally without reward. But like it says, they will be saved, yey they will

not be given any authority in the kingdom since they have proved themselves untrustworthy. Sorry to say, there is a lot of wood, hay and stubble floating around Israel Identity today!

Next we will take up the subject of "**Christ the firstfruits**". Today there are more than a dozen different ways people are trying to figure the proper time for Passover, but it all boils down to the month of "green ears", Lev. 2:14 & 23:14, and it has everything to do with the subject of the Resurrection. Right away, many will reply "That's the way the Jews (meaning bad-fig-jews) figure it." The bad-fig-jews are the descendants of Cain, and as a result they couldn't grow a barley crop to the stage where the "green ears" appear. The crop would die first. How many bad-fig-jews are actual farmers today? The problem in figuring Passover is where the "green ears" are. What geographic area?

What is far more significant is the fact that the "green ears" represent Christ's Resurrection! Careful instructions are given by Yahweh at Lev. 2:14 & 23:15-16: "And if thou offer a meat offering of thy firstfruits unto Yahweh, thou shalt offer for the meat offering of thy firstfruits green ears of barley dried by the fire, *even* barley beaten out of full ears ... And ye shall count unto you from the morrow after the sabbath, from the day that ye brought the sheaf of the wave offering; seven sabbaths shall be complete: Even unto the morrow after the seventh sabbath shall ye number fifty days; and ye shall offer a new meat offering unto Yahweh."

In ancient Israel, these firstfruit wave sheaves were from the barley crop early in the spring. About the only thing we can compare it with is our winter wheat when it is about to ripen in July in America. Any farmer knows that when the winter wheat is coming into head, a few heads of the wheat will ripen ahead of the rest. So also with the barley crop in the land of Canaan (Palestine), and the directive by Yahweh was to collect enough of these pre-ripened heads of barley to obtain the amount needed for two sheaves of barley. No doubt, in order to collect these pre-ripened heads of barley, one would need to carefully walk around the edge of the field and collect these from the stalks in such a way as not to trample down and damage the main crop. And there was nothing "bad-fig-jew" about it.

When Paul said at 1 Cor. 15:23, "**But every man in his own order: Christ the firstfruits; afterward they that are Christ's at his coming**", the "green ears" at Lev. 2:14 are the "firstfruits" that he was talking about! It was paramount that no one eat any of the barley crop until after two wave sheaves were offered, for in like manner there was no one resurrected to everlasting life until after Christ rose to life. Therefore, there was not a single Adamite resurrected to **eternal life** until Christ was raised to life after His Crucifixion.

To get a better perception of the two resurrections we have referenced from Scripture thus far, I will next quote 1 Tim. 5:24, which says: "**Some men's sins are open beforehand, going before to judgment; and some** *men* **they follow after.**" This should make it clear that there are indeed two different groups of people involved, one receiving rewards and the other receiving judgmental condemnation with little or no reward. The first group are those who were humble enough to admit their inadequate position and believe the gospel, and adjust their lives according to the light given them during their contemporary tenure. This first group would even include many who were under the corrupt roman catholic religious system during the dark ages. The second group are those who were wise in their own *[proud]* conceit (Proverbs 26:5). Compare the two malefactors crucified with Christ (Luke 23: 39-43).

How many years are going to be consumed for both the judgment of rewards and the judgment of wood, hay and stubble is not fully evident, but 1 Cor. 15:23 simply states, "... But every man in his own order ..." The Greek term translated into English by the A.V. as "order" is Strong's #5001, or $\tau \acute{\alpha}\gamma\mu\alpha$ *tágma*; genitive *tágmatos*, neuter noun from *tássō* (5021), to arrange in an orderly manner. Anything arranged in order or array such as a body of troops, a band, cohort (Septuagint 2 Sam. 23:13). In the NT, order sequence, or turn (1 Cor. 15:23), *The Complete Word Study Dictionary* by Spiros Zodhiates, page 1364.

This Greek term is obviously a military term for a military company, platoon, cohort, division or a Roman legion. It should now be apparent that each person in the wood, hay and stubble category will be judged with their contemporaries both in time and location. In order to accomplish this it is evident that those resurrected to judgment cannot all be resurrected at the same time. One can now see that this would be a very orderly way to carry out a judgmental condemnation. Thus, nothing will be hidden under the rug. All innocent victims will be exonerated! To judge all those in the resurrection of wood, hay and stubble may take hundreds or even thousands of years to complete.

And resurrection is for White Adamites only. This can be verified at Ecc. 3:21: "Who knoweth the spirit of man that goeth upward, and the spirit of the beast that goeth downward to the earth?" Who are the beasts spoken of here? Jeremiah 31:27 will give us a clue: "Behold, the days come, saith Yahweh, that I will sow the house of Israel and the house of Judah with the seed of man, and with the seed of beast." Are we to believe that the house of Israel and the house of Judah will literally have sexual intercourse with animals and produce offspring half animal and half man? After all, the A.V. term "beast" (Strong's #929) is the same Hebrew word at Ecc. 3:21 and Jer. 31:27. So it is obvious that both of these passages speak not of fourlegged animals, but of beast-people! Now with angels it is different, as they can take upon themselves the form of man or other living creatures such as animals or birds. At Josephus' Antiquities 18:6:7 and 19:8:2, he records two instances where angels took on the form of an owl, to which Eusebius (in his Church History) agrees at 2.10, and found in Scripture as "angel" at Acts 12:23. For an angel to transform to man, bird (owl) or animal kind is but one step away from cohabitation with them, procreating mutants. Are we not witnessing today the "sow[ing of] the house of Israel and the house of Judah with the seed of man, and with the seed of beast[-people]"? When beast-people, or any mixture thereof die, there is no resurrection for them. It's that simple, for "the spirit of the beast ... goeth downward to the earth "!

This brings up another interesting sequel for those resurrected to condemnation. While the condemned Whites will be saved, they can hardly be in the resurrection of rewards for bringing forth mixed-beast offspring! Even the patriarch Judah mixed his seed with a Canaanite woman. But there is sufficient evidence that he repented of that, sending his sin forward to the judgment, rather than following after. Therefore it would appear that it would be advisable for all those of the house of Israel and the house of Judah to repent likewise before it is too late, and disown the unclean spouse and any offspring from such a union. And thank Yahweh, a few have and will reap reward rather than judgment by sending their sins forward to the judgment! Even though the majority probably will not repent and disown the offspring by beast-people, at the resurrection of the wood, hay and stubble, they will still be saved, but will be mighty low on the totempole in the kingdom. Even lower still will be the pastors (especially in Israel Identity) who teach and preach universalism, a doctrine which Yahshua Christ hates!

To show this I will repeat a portion of my brochure *Was Christ Politically Incorrect?* The following rendition of Matt. 13:47-50 does absolutely no violence to this passage:

"47 Again, the kingdom of heaven is like unto a net, that was **cast into the sea** [of people], and gathered of every race: 48 Which, when it was full, they drew to shore, and sat down, and gathered the good [racial kind] into vessels, but cast the bad [racial kind] away. 49 So shall it be at the end of the world: the angels shall come forth, and sever the wicked from among the just, 50 And shall cast them [the bad racial kind] into the furnace of fire: there shall be wailing and gnashing of teeth." These are Christ's words, not mine! Therefore, the only conclusion we can arrive at is the fact that Yahshua Christ Himself is a RACIST.

Yahweh never dealt with the other races, but only White Adamites, and after Abraham, Isaac and Jacob He dealt exclusively with Israelites. When Yahweh came in the flesh as Yahshua, He came exclusively to the lost sheep of Israel! And the false pastors who teach that "After the Jews rejected their Messiah, God turned to the Gentiles", they are just what Scripture calls them, "dumb dogs". There's a lot of difference between a bad-fig-jew and a racially pure member of the tribe of Judah. Yahshua Christ is one of the latter!

Some of the dumb dog pastors teach a spirit-only resurrection. If our Redeemer did not resurrect in a physical body, He is no longer of the tribe of Judah, and Revelation 5:5 is a lie. I have said it before and I will repeat it again, Yahweh was spirit until He came in the flesh as Yahshua. Therefore He came in the flesh, He grew up in the flesh, He ministered in the flesh, He was crucified in the flesh, He arose from the dead in the flesh, He ascended in the flesh, and He will return at His Second Coming in the flesh. And Job declared at 19:25-26: "²⁵ For I know *that* my redeemer liveth, and *that* he shall stand at the latter *day* upon the earth: ²⁶ And *though* after my skin *worms* destroy this *body*, yet in my flesh shall I see God ..."

The 1833 Webster Bible translates it: "25 For I know {that} my redeemer liveth, and {that} he will stand at the latter {day} upon the earth: 26 And {though} after my skin {worms} destroy this {body}, yet in my flesh shall I see God ..."

The 1599 Geneva Bible translates it: "25 For I am sure, that my Redeemer liueth [sic old English], and he shall stand the last on the earth. 26 And though after my skin wormes destroy this bodie, yet shall I see God in my flesh."

An important passage on the resurrection is found at Acts 17:29-33: "²⁹ Forasmuch then as we are the offspring of Yahweh, we ought not to think that the Godhead is like unto gold, or silver, or stone, graven by art and man's device.³⁰

And the times of this ignorance Yahweh winked at; but now commandeth all men every where to repent: ³¹ Because he hath appointed a day, in the which he will judge the world in righteousness by *that* man whom he hath ordained; *whereof* he hath given assurance unto all *men*, in that he hath raised him from the dead. ³² And when they heard of the resurrection of the dead, some mocked: and others said, We will hear thee again of this *matter*. ³³ So Paul departed from among them." It is paramount that we notice that Paul addresses the offspring of Yahweh, and no other. So the "all men" at verse 30 can only be Adamites, especially Israelites. Paul is not opening the door to the other races as many falsely purport! Rather, speaking to Athenians, Ionian Greeks, he was addressing Japhethite descendants of Javan (Gen. 10:2), whom the Athenians were. You will also notice that Paul uses the word "repent", which is the Greek word #3340, and going to the NA27 in the Libronix Digital Library, it links to *The Theological Dictionary of the New Testament* vol. 4, page 625:

"... $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \nu o \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ means a change of heart either generally or in respect of a specific sin, whereas $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \imath$ means 'to experience remorse.' $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \nu o \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ implies that one has later arrived at a different view of something ..."

Thus, Paul was simply telling his audience that their premise was flawed and they needed to change their mind. Nominal churchianity declares the first resurrection is for the saved and the second for the unsaved lost, but as we have observed, both are saved, and the first is for reward and the second for judgment.

There was another resurrection recorded at Matt. 27:50-53 which reads: "50 Yahshua, when he had cried again with a loud voice, yielded up the ghost. ⁵¹ And, behold, the veil of the temple was rent in twain from the top to the bottom; and the earth did quake, and the rocks rent; ⁵² And the graves were opened; and many bodies of the saints which slept arose, ⁵³ And came out of the graves after his resurrection, and went into the holy city, and appeared unto many." Many make the mistake of thinking that these saints came out of their graves during the crucifixion. There are two important things to notice about this resurrection. First, and as I have said before, not a single person was resurrected to life eternal until after Christ's Resurrection, which verse 53 makes very clear. Second, this resurrection was only an earnest (down payment) of the final two resurrections. You will notice verse 53 says: "... and went into the holy city, and appeared unto many ..." It should be obvious that Jerusalem couldn't contain the amount of people which will be in the first rewards resurrection and second judgment resurrection! Some may number these three resurrections differently than I have here. Some even refer to these three resurrections as the barley resurrection, the wheat resurrection, and the wine resurrection. Whatever number or name is attached to these three resurrections, Christ's Resurrection and those raised at Matt. 27:50-53 must fall under the barley resurrection, for they too are representative of the "green ears".