

# Analytical Review of Philip Jones' *The Negro, Serpent, Beast and Devil*, #5

Clifton A. Emahiser's Non-Universal Teaching Ministries  
1012 N. Vine Street, Fostoria, Ohio 44830

Please Feel Free To Copy, But Not To Edit

At the beginning of this series of papers, I did not explain fully my decision to critique the work of Philip Jones, which I will now reveal in greater detail:

When I began researching and writing back in the mid 1990's, I wrote several short 3 or 4 page compositions defending Two Seedline doctrine, and distributed them to about 25 people attending Identity meetings near Perrysburg, Ohio. At that time Two Seedline had become very unpopular, and many scoffed at it in very abusive terms. At the time the leader of the group died, and one of his sons took over. Later, I found out that one of the daughters of this family had married an aboriginal mongolian type of American Indian. Thus, the father, just before he died, became a strong advocate of James Bruggemam's doctrine of universalism. When his son took over the meetings, each week he would review one of Bruggemam's audio cassette tapes, and we would have a warmed-over James Bruggemam lesson! Later, I was to learn that a number of so-called pastors in Identity had managed to demean the Two Seedline doctrine of Gen. 3:15 to an all-time low. Upon learning of this, I decided to take all of my short compositions, which made a 52 page, 8½ x 11 booklet entitled *Research Papers Proving The Two Seedline Seduction Of Eve*, and managed to circulate about a hundred copies throughout the United States.

A little later, someone, somehow, got a copy of my booklet to Philip Jones. I have never met or talked to Philip on the phone, but through our exchange of information, I learned that Philip held a racial position that was 180 degrees opposite to that of his brother, Stephen E. Jones. Of the various information that Philip sent me it included a free off-the-press copy of *Racial Hybridity* and a loose-leaf copy of his 120 page book, *The Negro, Serpent, Beast and Devil*. However, Philip overlooked sending me pages 30 & 31 of *The Negro, Serpent, Beast and Devil*.

At the time of those Perrysburg, Ohio meetings, I had about 20 years of intensive conspiracy reading and research under my belt, and about 15 years of intensive Israel Identity study and research under way. I had long since realized that I had to erase everything I have ever learned out of my mind, and start over from square one. I knew, at the time, that although Philip had a lot of good points, there were many of Philip's premises with which I didn't agree. But I wasn't yet prepared to openly critique all of his opinions, so I placed all of his material on the back-burner until I did. Since that time 15 years have passed, and now I am prepared to do the job.

Although Philip Jones shows himself quite an able writer and researcher on the subject of race, some of his resources are flawed, and he has cited some of their flawed views. I have also cited some of the same data, and I have had to admit my error for doing so. For instance, I doubt that I will ever again quote from Alexander Hislop's *The Two Babylons*, as Hislop simply cannot be trusted! Hence, the object of

this series is to praise Philip where he is correct and give him constructive criticism where he is wrong. – Now to Philip:

“Jackson writes: ‘[The] theory of an intangible Devil [came] into usage in the fourth century when occultism was first introduced into the Church by Jews, because our ancient progenitors knew the Devil was tangible’ (*The Mystery of Satan and the Devil*, bk. 2, p. 41, by B.F. Jackson). ‘Lucifer’, the tempter of Eve, actually died as all men must die, and his work is being carried on by his descendants on earth (ibid p. 9). This does not make our task any easier, but it is good to understand that our enemy is mortal and that there is but ONE God in the universe (I Cor. 8:5-6).

**[Critical note** by Clifton A. Emahiser: When Philip Jones made the statement above, and then cited 1 Cor. 8:5-6, he overlooked John 10:32-38, which states:

**“<sup>32</sup> Yahshua answered them, Many good works have I shewed you from my Father; for which of those works do ye stone me? <sup>33</sup> The Jews answered him, saying, For a good work we stone thee not; but for blasphemy; and because that thou, being a man, makest thyself God. <sup>34</sup> Yahshua answered them, Is it not written in your law, I said, Ye [*Israelites*] are gods? <sup>35</sup> If he called them [*Israelites*] gods, unto whom the word of God came, and the scripture cannot be broken; <sup>36</sup> Say ye of him, whom the Father hath sanctified, and sent into the world, Thou blasphemest; because I said, I am the Son of God? <sup>37</sup> If I do not the works of my Father, believe me not. <sup>38</sup> But if I do, though ye believe not me, believe the works: that ye may know, and believe, that the Father *is* in me, and I in him.”**

Philip also overlooked Psalm 82:1-6, which states:

**“<sup>1</sup> God standeth in the congregation of the mighty [*Israelites*]; he judgeth among the [*Israelite*] gods. <sup>2</sup> How long will ye judge unjustly, and accept the persons of the wicked? Selah. <sup>3</sup> Defend the poor and fatherless: do justice to the afflicted and needy. <sup>4</sup> Deliver the poor and needy: rid *them* out of the hand of the wicked. <sup>5</sup> They know not, neither will they understand; they walk on in darkness: all the foundations of the earth are out of course. <sup>6</sup> I have said, Ye [*Israelites*] are gods; and all of you *are* children of the most High.”**

Additionally, Philip Jones, quoting B.F. Jackson’s *The Mystery of Satan and the Devil* clams: ‘[The] theory of an intangible Devil [came] into usage in the fourth century when occultism was first introduced into the Church by Jews, because our ancient progenitors knew the Devil was tangible.’ Here, both B.F. Jackson and Philip Jones overlook Matt. 8:28-32:

**“<sup>28</sup> And when he was come to the other side into the country of the Gergesenes, there met him two possessed with devils, coming out of the tombs, exceeding fierce, so that no man might pass by that way. <sup>29</sup> And, behold, they cried out, saying, What have we to do with thee, Yahshua, thou Son of God? art thou come hither to torment us before the time? <sup>30</sup> And there was a good way off from them an herd of many swine feeding. <sup>31</sup> So the devils besought him, saying, If thou cast us out, suffer us to go away into the herd of swine. <sup>32</sup> And he said unto them, Go. And when they were come out, they went into the herd of swine: and, behold, the whole herd of swine ran violently down a steep place into the sea, and perished in the waters.”**

It should be apparent that both B.F. Jackson and Philip Jones overlooked the possibility that the serpent that seduced Eve in the garden, and the fallen angels that seduced the Adamic women before Noah's flood did indeed die as men would die, but what is overlooked is that the spirits of the fallen angels could, and did, live on in an intangible form. But in order to do so, it was necessary for their intangible spirit to occupy another living creature like clean or unclean men, or unclean swine. What's amazing is, if a man or woman, the intangible spirit can use its host's voice. Let's also reference 1 Cor. 6:2-3:

**“<sup>2</sup> Do ye not know that the saints shall judge the world? and if the world shall be judged by you, are ye unworthy to judge the smallest matters? <sup>3</sup> Know ye not that we shall judge angels? how much more things that pertain to this life?”**

My own position on the matter is, with the two-thirds of the angels that didn't fall, they have the ability to be tangible or intangible at their own will, but the one-third part of the angels that did fall, and mixed their seed with every kind, did die the death of a man, and find themselves in need of a living creature to occupy. – Back to Philip Jones]

“The gods which the world worships today are one. The Kali temples in Asia go through the same rituals as the B'nai B'rith lodges in America. Like the Catholic Church, the Hindu god Siva (Satan) is worshipped with the use of a rosary upon which are rehearsed if possible all 1,008 names of the god (Ralph Woodrow's *Babylon Mystery Religion* p. 28). Like the Roman Catholics the Jews also pray to the dead for intercession with 'God' (James Finn's *The Jews In China* p. 68). These similarities are not just coincidental. These religions all carry out the same rites under different names to the same god ... [I question this paragraph! C.A.E.]

**[Critical note** by Clifton A. Emahiser: In Ralph Woodrow's newer book, *The Babylon Connection*, he condemns Alexander Hislop's *The Two Babylons*, and gives several examples of Hislop's errors on history, calling them rather “only mythology.” Then, Woodrow goes on challenging many of Hislop's claims. So it appears (like myself), Philip Jones also got victimized by Hislop. But if you already have Woodrow's *Babylon Mystery Religion*, don't throw it away, as Woodrow has a very good article, “Three Days And Three Nights” on p. 141. Back to Philip Jones:]

“What we are just beginning to realize is that these same religions advocate race-mixing and universal 'brotherhood' (i.e. mongrelization). One person who visited China and Tibet said concerning the mysteries of their religion: 'The most powerful lama, the Living Buddha, might know [about such things] ... but I doubt that he will tell the secrets to white devils' (Eric Norman's *This Hollow Earth* pp. 72-73 [another questionable source, C.A.E.]). – Jones continues:

“This same distrust and enmity is felt around the world toward the White Man. Only the White Man disregards what to all non-whites is so obvious.

“In the earth is supposed to be the legendary place known as *Shamballah*, the capital of Agharta. There the most recent King of the World reigns. His name is Rigden-jyepo (ibid. p. 49 [questionable] CAE). The King is supposed to be in close communication with the Dalai Lama, the spiritual leader of Tibet. The King has 800 million people on the surface of the earth who are ready to accomplish his every order (ibid. p. 59 [questionable]).

“The following answer was given in reply to the question 'Does the King of the World rule forever?', asked by one man in an interview in Tibet: '[No:] Just like

everyone else he must undergo certain tests of purification. On those times, he anoints himself with selected oils and enters a secret cave that contains a cosmic temple. This cave has been in existence since the beginning of time. The embalmed body of the original King of the World lies in state at the end of the cave, in a magical casket of black stone. (The Moslems worship a sacred black stone known as the Kaaba.)

“The cave is always dark and, when he nears the entrance, the King of the World must make certain chants, perform rituals, and repeat his incantations. When these are completed, the cave entrance opens and the darkness disappears as the walls of the cave glow with a ruby light. At the same time, a dancing mass of flames leaps up from the body in the black stone coffin’ (ibid p. 79 [questionable]). The King must then walk up to the casket and place his hands and arms in the fire until his flesh has been burned away. If he is worthy to rule, his flesh returns instantly. Later, those who act against his will are ‘doomed to destruction’ (ibid. p. 81 [questionable]).

“Jackson adds: ‘Further proof that the Devil is tangible is given by the Assyriologist, Prof. A.H. Sayce, in his book ‘Babylonian and Egyptian Life’, in which he says: ‘The claimant of the sovereignty took the hand of Bel, and then became the adopted son of the god. Until this ceremony, however much he might be king de facto he was not so de jure ... The legal title could be given by Bel and by Bel only.’ (Eric Norman’s *This Hollow Earth* pp. 23-24 [again a questionable source, C.A.E.] – Jones continues):

“The men who were crowned king in Babylon preserved the enmity which was felt by Cain towards Abel, but after Babylon’s destruction, ‘Satan’s seat’ was moved elsewhere.

**[Critical note** by Clifton A. Emahiser: This last sentence smells like Alexander Hislop. Back to Jones:]

“There are thus many ‘devils’ (see Baruch 4:7; I Cor. 10:20), and there is even a form of royalty among these ‘devils’, with the Jews predominating as the ‘twelve tribes’ (heads of the cobra) in imitation of the twelve tribes of Israel. Each king has had an eye upon WORLD RULE as the ultimate goal. But, as Ariel says, ‘the truth is, there is no such being *on earth now or ever was as a personal, independent and omnipresent devil*, as now fills the minds and heads of Roman Catholics and Protestant Christendom. The idea is horrible and revolting’ (Ariel (Rev. Buckner H. Payne). *The Negro: What Is His Ethnological Status?* p. 35).

**[Critical note** by Clifton A. Emahiser: Philip Jones is somewhat hard to follow in the last few paragraphs. First of all, he quotes several passages from Eric Norman’s *This Hollow Earth*, for which I wouldn’t waste my time nor shelf space. Then Philip cites Baruch 4:7 & I Cor. 10:20, and really doesn’t mention the relevance for doing so. I will now quote these two references to help the reader comprehend the intended context:

Baruch 4:7: **“For ye [Israelites] provoked him that made you by sacrificing unto devils, and not to God.”**

1 Cor. 10:20: **“But I say, that the things which the [Israel nations] sacrifice, they sacrifice to devils, and not to God: and I would not that ye should have fellowship with devils.”**

Philip Jones has already stated that it was a negro who seduced Eve, and mothered Cain. Secondly, he also has gone on record indicating that the seducer of Eve had to die the death of a man. So for Philip, the only “devils’ who have survived are

the descendants of Cain, which is not entirely right or entirely wrong. Actually, these two passages cited by Philip have been translated incorrectly as “devils” and should read “demons” instead. William Finck, in his *Christogenea New Testament* has translated 1 Cor. 10:20 correctly:

**“Rather, that whatever the Nations sacrifice, they sacrifice to demons, and not to Yahweh.”** In Finck’s notes on the term “nations”, he states in part:

“151. ... ‘the Nations’ (... 1484) and not ‘the heathen’ or ‘the Gentiles’ here: for the scope of the statement is spelled out in v. 18: ‘Israel down through the flesh’. The Scythians, Germans, Kelts, Gauls, Iberians, Britons, Romans, Parthians and half of the Greek tribes – and others – all descended from the Israelites of the Bible, and all were sacrificing to pagan deities, idols, or demons. Paul is talking about none other than the Nations (*i.e.* Gen. 15:4-5, 17:16-19, 25:23, 26:3-4, 27:28-29, 35:10-11 et al.) descended from Jacob-Israel”. – Back to Philip Jones:]

“Jackson continues: In 1950 the Devil, Prince Abdul Baraba Baha, of John Hopkins University, was proclaimed to be Jewry’s god (B.F. Jackson’s *The Mystery of the Serpent*, bk. 1 p. 31). He went under the alias of Diluwa Hutuktu. He was ‘the illegitimate son of the deposed Sultan of Turkey (Abdul Hamid II, deposed in 1909), born to a Jew whore in Mecca, Arabia in 1894. He exalted himself as Almighty God in 1928 while living in Iraq’ (B.F. Jackson’s *The Mystery of Satan and the Devil*, bk. 2, p. 13).

“Isaiah’s words should be comforting to all who live in fear continually: ‘How art thou fallen from heaven, O Lucifer, ... They that see thee shall narrowly look upon thee, and consider thee, saying, Is this the man [*ish*] that made the earth to tremble, that did shake kingdoms’? (Isa. 14:12-16). Instead of cowering in abject fear before a ‘supernatural devil’ of our own imagination, we should be putting the old *serpent*, or work at putting him, back in his place in creation!

**[Critical note** by Clifton A. Emahiser: It should be noted that the term “the Devil” is a proper noun, and one of Satan’s names, so he is not a figment of our “imagination”. However, most people in churchianity take Isa. 14:12-16 completely out-of-context – Back to Philip:]

### **“CANAAN WAS NOT A NEGRO**

“Many Christians hold to the tradition that the black race was caused by Noah’s curse upon Ham or Canaan (see note in the *Living Bible*, Gen. 9:18). This idea was held by St. Jerome and St. Augustine also. However, the most likely source of this doctrine is the Jewish *Talmud*, a book which drew its material from older Babylonian sources probably kept by the priests of Babylon. One author says: ‘Talmudic and Midrashic sources contained such suggestions as ... ‘Ham was smitten in his skin,’ that Noah told Him ‘your seed will be ugly and dark-skinned,’ and that Ham was father ‘of Canaan who brought curses into the world, of Canaan who was cursed, of Canaan who darkened the faces of mankind,’ of Canaan ‘the notorious world-darkener’.” (74: 18) We note the Talmud was condemned by Jesus Himself as ‘the traditions of men’ which made God’s Word of no effect (Mt. 15:6).

“Christians who hold to the traditions of the Talmud face the problem of reconciling the fact that the negro was the product of a curse upon a White man with the idea that the negro is as blessed a creation as the White man and his equal. If the

negro skin color, kinky hair, and other features were a curse, how can the White race look upon the negro as an equal in society, the Church, or the marriage bed? Furthermore, Christians who believe that Noah in his drunken state could utter a curse so far-reaching in its consequences without cursing himself (having a negro grandson), are badly mistaken. The problem is compounded by the fact that Canaan needed a black wife in order to reproduce his kind. If Canaan was the first negro, where would he have found a black wife? Intermarriage to a White would have produced mongrel seed, not a new (black) seed-line.

“It is also important to remember that the flood was brought upon White men because of intermarriage with the negro, not with spiritual beings (called ‘angels’). Many of Adam’s descendants took negro wives or married mulattoes, but Noah was righteous for remaining ‘perfect [Heb. *tamiym*, bodily perfection, not moral; without blemish; pure stock] in his generations’ (Gen. 6:9). Creating a negro out of Noah’s spotless seedline would perpetuate the very sin which Noah fought and preached against previously.

**[Critical note** by Clifton A. Emahiser: I don’t know from where Jones gets his evidence that “Many of Adam’s descendants took negro wives or married mulattoes ...” Rather, at Genesis 6:1-2, 4, it implicates the Adamic women with unlawful miscegenation thusly:

**“<sup>1</sup> And it came to pass, when men began to multiply on the face of the earth, and daughters were born unto them, <sup>2</sup> That the sons of God [*i.e.*, *sons of Heaven*] saw the daughters of men that they were fair; and they took them wives of all which they chose. ... <sup>4</sup> There were giants in the earth in those days; and also after that, when the sons of Heaven came in unto the daughters of men, and they bare children to them, the same became mighty men<sup>368</sup> [*i.e.*, *’Eymîym, Emins*] which were of old, men of renown.”** Later, the Moabites dispossessed the Emins and referred to them as “Rephaim”, Gen. 14:5. (*Insight On The Scriptures*, vol. 1, p. 723).

Now let’s talk about these “Rephaim.” *The Zondervan Pictorial Encyclopedia of the Bible*, volume 5, page 64, says this in part:

**“REPHAIM.** ... The inhabitants of Trans-Jordan in pre-Israelite times whom the Moabites and Ammonites called respectively ‘Emim’ and ‘Zamzummim’ ... ‘giants’ ... Their land (promised to Abraham) [was occupied by] ten ethnic groups (Gen. 15:20). ... Deuteronomy 2:10, 11 says that they were great, many and tall like the Anakim. Og, king of Bashan, for example possessed a king size iron bed, nine cubits long and four cubits broad. ... Giants among the Philistines who fought against David and his mighty men along their disputed border both at Gezer ... and at Gath ... These giants were the descendants of Rapha, the eponymous ancestor of these Rephaim.” – End of critical note.]

Clifton A. Emahiser’s  
Non-Universal Teaching Ministries  
1012 N. Vine Street, Fostoria, Ohio 44830  
My Website: [emahiser.christogenea.org](http://emahiser.christogenea.org)  
Also Recommend William Finck’s: [christogenea.org](http://christogenea.org)