A better question might be: Biblical Canaanites, who are they today? Many are acquainted with the term as used in the Old Testament, and a few occasions in the New, but do they still exist today? And if so, how would we recognize one if we were to meet one of them? The first mention of “Canaan” in Scripture is at Gen. 9:18, as a person. We have to be careful, though, using this designation, as at various times it can mean different things (i.e., a person; a geographic area; a nation or a tribe or a group of nations or tribes). Canaan, as a person, has a peculiar origin. At Gen. 9:18 we are told: “And the sons of Noah, that went forth of the ark, were Shem, and Ham, and Japheth: and Ham is the father of Canaan.” At verse 22 we read: “And Ham, the father of Canaan, saw the nakedness of his father, and told his two brethren without.”

Now there is a lot of speculation that because Ham saw his father’s nakedness, it was a matter of homosexuality, which is not true. Yet about 95% of those who read this verse insist upon that explanation, ignoring such verses as:

- Leviticus 18:7-8: “The nakedness of thy father, or the nakedness of thy mother, shalt thou not uncover: she is thy mother; thou shalt not uncover her nakedness. 8 The nakedness of thy father’s wife shalt thou not uncover: it is thy father’s nakedness.”
- Leviticus 20:11: “And the man that lieth with his father’s wife hath uncovered his father’s nakedness: both of them shall surely be put to death; their blood shall be upon them.”
- Deuteronomy 22:30: “A man shall not take his father’s wife, nor discover his fathers skirt.”
- Deuteronomy 27:20: “Cursed be he that lieth with his father’s wife; because he uncovereth his father’s skirt ...”
- Ezekiel 22:10 (RSV): “In you, men uncover their fathers’ nakedness; in you they humble women who are unclean in their impurity.”
- 1 Corinthians 5:1: “It is reported commonly that there is fornication among you, and such fornication as is not so much as named among the heathens, that one should have his father’s wife.”
Amos 2:7: “That pant after the dust of the earth on the head of the poor, and turn aside the way of the meek: and a man and his father will go in unto the same maid, to profane my holy name.”

Genesis 35:22: “And it came to pass, when Israel dwelt in that land, that Reuben went and lay with Bilhah his father’s concubine: and Israel heard it ...”

Genesis 49:4: “Unstable as water, thou (Reuben) shalt not excel; because thou wentest up to thy father’s bed; then defiledst thou it: he went up to my couch.”

1 Chronicles 5:1: “Now the sons of Reuben the firstborn of Israel, (for he was the firstborn; but, forasmuch as he defiled his father’s bed, his birthright was given unto the sons of Joseph the son of Israel: and the genealogy is not to be reckoned after the birthright ... ”)

2 Samuel 16:22: “So they spread Absalom a tent upon the top of the house; and Absalom went in unto his father’s concubines in the sight of all Israel.”

What more evidence is needed to prove beyond all doubt that Ham’s father’s nakedness was in actuality his mother, Noah’s wife? Now Noah, along with his wife, his three sons and their wives, were all White people, therefore Canaan the son of Ham was also White, but born of incest by his mother rather than his wife. This is why Canaan was pointed out specifically at Gen. 9:18-22, where Ham’s other, older, legitimate sons are not mentioned. So while Canaan was White, he was born with a stigma (a mark of disgrace). And while it was Ham who committed fornication with his mother, it was Canaan who bore the curse (and not Ham’s other children)! All of this is but the beginning of the Canaanite story, for as it branches out it gets much more complicated, complex and multifaceted, and few there are who understand it. At Gen. 9:25 we are enlightened thusly: “And he (Noah) said, Cursed be Canaan; a servant of servants shall he be unto his brethren.” By the way, this was a prophecy by Noah which was later fulfilled at 1 Ki. 9:20-21 & 2 Chron. 8:7-8. These two passages describe how Solomon put into the tribute of slavery the Amorites, Hittites, Perizzites, Hivites, and Jebusites. Four of these five, all but the Hivites, are among the ten Canaanite tribes mentioned at Gen. 15:19-21, thusly: “19 The Kenites, and the Kenizzites, and the Kadmonites, 20 And the Hittites, and the Perizzites, and the Rephaims, 21 And the Amorites, and the Canaanites, and the Girgashites, and the Jebusites.” I am persuaded that “Hivite” is from an ancient scribal error where “Horite” is the correct word (see Strong #’s 2340/2752). In order to pick up the Hivite name, we must go to Gen. 10:15-19 which states: “15 And Canaan begat Sidon his firstborn, and Heth, 16 And the Jebusite, and the Amorite, and the Girgashite, 17 And the Hivite, and the Arkite, and the Sinite, 18 And the Ardavite, and the Zemarite, and the Hamathite: and afterward were the families of the Canaanites spread abroad.” Now these were the cursed line of Ham’s son Canaan and Canaan’s offspring. So although the Hivites are not named at Gen. 15:19-21, they are part of the equation. Sometimes Scripture will use a dominant tribe’s name to include several tribes of the same category. An example is the name Ephraim which was the dominant tribe of the ten northern tribes. Therefore, we have to determine whether it is speaking in a singular
sense, or if Ephraim represents all ten of the northern tribes of Israel. Likewise with the Canaanite nations. At Genesis 15:16 it is speaking in a collective sense when it says: “But in the fourth generation they shall come hither again: for the iniquity of the Amorites is not yet full.” The Amorites are mentioned as the dominant tribe named by Rahab at Joshua 2:10, where she told the Israelite spies who had come to Jericho to spy out the fortifications: “For we have heard how Yahweh dried up the water of the Red sea for you, when ye came out of Egypt; and what ye did unto the two kings of the Amorites, that were on the other side Jordan, Sihon and Og, whom ye utterly destroyed.”

Amorites absorb Moabites: The most important thing we must understand is the fact that the Amorites had conquered and absorbed the Moabites. The Moabites descended from Lot’s incest with one of his two daughters, one son of which was named Moab and another son of the other daughter named Ammon. By the time of the incident of Baalpeor, the Moabites were no longer a racially pure people. We can be quite sure of this as Paul called Israel’s sexual encounter with the Moabite women “fornication” (1 Cor. 10:8). What we can be certain of is, shortly before the Israelites arrived in the Transjordan, Sihon, a king of the Amorites, had defeated the previous king of Moab (Num. 21:26) and absorbed much of the Moabite territory as far south as the Arnon (The Interpreter’s Dictionary of the Bible, vol. K-Q, p. 414). For verification of this fact, Num. 21:26 reads: “For Heshbon was the city of Sihon the king of the Amorites, who had fought against the former king of Moab, and taken all his land out of his hand, even unto Arnon.” So this was part of the “iniquity of the Amorites” predicted to come to the full at Gen. 15:16. A portion of the Moabites south of the river Arnon remained unmolested, and these caused trouble for Israel later on.

What the serious Bible scholar must understand is that during the Joshua period, the Israelites destroyed the Amorites, who had absorbed the Moabites, killing and/or displacing both of them. Upon driving the Amorites (+ absorbed Moabites) out of the promised land, it is recorded at Joshua 18:7 that half of the tribe of Manasseh, along with the tribes of Gad and Reuben, moved into the former land of Moab east of the Jordan. It was later, during the Judges period, that an Israelite lady from the conquered land of Moab by the name of Ruth journeyed with her mother-in-law Naomi back to Bethlehem. Yes, Ruth was an Israelite, NOT a Moабite! And when Rahab spoke of Amorites at Joshua 2:10, it included the Moabites. Thus the Moabites became part of the Canaanite nations. This is made evident at Ezra 9:1 where it states:

“Now when these things were done, the princes came to me, saying, The people of Israel, and the priests, and the Levites, have not separated themselves from the people of the lands, doing according to their abominations, even of the Canaanites, the Hittites, the Perizzites, the Jebusites, the Ammonites, the Moabites, the Egyptians, and the Amorites.” As can plainly be seen, the Moabites and Egyptians are here added to the list.

What is very interesting in all of this is, my 1950 ed. KJV printed by The World Publishing Company, 2231 West 110th Street, Cleveland, Ohio, in the center reference at Gen. 15:16, is linked to Matt. 23:32, and at Matt. 23:32, it links back to Gen. 15:16. So let’s read Matt. 23:32: “Fill ye up then the measure of your fathers.” What
Christ was talking about here was the origin of what we refer to as “Jews” today who are of the bad-fig variety. This is quite intriguing, as it shows that, whoever the Bible students were who devised these center references, they understood that the particular scribes and Pharisees to whom Yahshua Christ was addressing this were in actuality Canaanites (a people related to the Amorites). Three verses later, Christ identifies them as being guilty of “the blood of righteous Abel”, which was a very serious charge but a true one. The connection is through the “Kenites” at Gen. 15:19, their ancestors in part.

Not only does my World Bible cite these center references, but I also have a Zondervan Classic KJV ©1983 that does likewise. But don’t expect all Bibles with center references to do so. How many people who read and study their Bibles understand a connection of these two passages? And rightfully Yahshua addressed the scribes and Pharisees as serpents!

Paul also understood that it was the Amorite background of the bad-fig-jews which were the instigators of the crucifixion of Yahshua Christ at 1 Thes. 2:15-16, as the center references of my two Bibles also link this passage to Gen. 15:16. So let’s read 1 Thes. 2:15-16: “15 Who both killed the Prince Yahshua, and their own prophets, and have persecuted us; and they please not God, and are contrary to all men: 16 Forbidding us to speak to the Gentiles [sic ethnê Nations] that they might be saved, to fill up their sins alway[s] : for the wrath is come upon them to the uttermost.”

And if one wanted to run more references on this subject, one should obtain a book entitled The New Treasury of Scripture Knowledge, edited by Jerome H. Smith, which contains many thousands of cross references to do so, or even better, find the book in an electronic data format as I have at my disposal. As you can see here, although it was the Romans who carried out the crucifixion of Christ, it was the Canaanite-Amorite-bad-fig-jews who were responsible, not any of the tribes of Judah or Israel! By understanding the Canaanite connection in all of its aspects, one can begin to comprehend that they can be traced back to Cain. Not only do the bad-fig-jews descend from Cain, but also the arabs and the mexicans. And it would be a difficult task to determine everyone who may be, as many Italians, Spaniards, Greeks and other “Europeans” of today (not 2000 years ago, but today), are also. At least we know the pure-blooded White Caucasians, who are Israelites, can not.

In my essay Mexicans Traced To Cain, (Son Of Satan), I established with Biblical and historical documentation that the arabs, mexicans and bad-fig-jews were all descended from Cain. With this article, I give further Biblical evidence that the bad-fig-jews are nothing more than Canaanites, who in turn descended from Cain. This is important, for the descendants of Cain are the “seed of the serpent” at Genesis 3:15! Paul understood who the seed of the serpent were when he stated at Romans 16:20: “And the God of peace shall bruise Satan under your feet shortly. The grace of our Sovereign Yahshua Christ be with you. Amen.” Paul’s prediction at this passage was fulfilled with the Roman siege of Jerusalem and the destruction of the Temple in 70 A.D. by Titus, with the dispersion of those Canaanite-jews who didn’t die in the siege to be dispersed into all nations as prophesied by Yahshua Christ Himself at Luke 21:24, which reads: “And they shall fall by the edge of the sword, and shall
be led away captive into all nations: and Jerusalem shall be trodden down of the [heathen] nations, until the times of the [heathen] nations be fulfilled.”

An excerpt from The New Treasury of Scripture Knowledge at Luke 21:24, page 1172 states: “Those who perished in the siege were 1,100,000, besides vast numbers who were slain at other times and places; and nearly 100,000 more were taken and sold for slaves; and their nation has been dispersed in all countries for upwards of 1700 years, while their city has been trodden under foot of the Romans, Saracens, Mamalukes, Franks, and Turks, who possess it to this day ...” [Obviously written over 100 years ago.] These were Canaanite-jews, not Israelites or Judahites! So in the Middle East today there are some Canaanite-arabs and some Canaanite-jews, along with some Canaanite-egyptians to the south along the Nile! Over the centuries, the Levant has become one vast arab melting-pot of unclean creatures! (See my essay Arabs, Friend Or Foe.)

THE BINDING OF THE STRONG MAN

There seems to be an agreement among the many commentaries that Satan is the “strong man”, to which I must agree, but I may have just a little different view on it. The best passage on this subject is found at Luke 11:21-23: “21 When a strong man armed keepeth his palace, his goods are in peace: 22 But when a stronger than he shall come upon him, and overcome him, he taketh from him all his armour wherein he trusted, and divideth his spoils. 23 He that is not with me is against me: and he that gathereth not with me scattereth.”

If therefore the strong man is Satan, then Satan is the Canaanite-bad-fig-jew! While there were still a few pureblooded Judahites and Benjamites, and a smattering from the other tribes in Judaea at the time of Yahshua Christ, by-and-large the Edomite-Canaanite element had taken over the government and Temple offices, and had Rome inclining to their whims. On the other hand, Yahshua Christ is the “stronger than he” to “overcome him” (Satan). And because the Romans were by-and-large Israelites of the house of Zarah-Judah, the twin brother of Christ’s own household of Pharez-Judah, the Romans became, in essence, Christ’s feet to tread on Satan as Paul had predicted at Romans 16:20. The Romans even allowed a short period for the Christians to leave Judea before the treading took place, leaving only the satanic-Canaanite-jews to receive the iron feet of Rome. So Rome represented Christ as the “stronger than he” to bind the strong man (Satan), which Josephus describes in such great detail! Rome was not out-of-place by playing this part, as a near relative is needed as a revenger of blood (Num. 35:16-19). How many are there that know the founding families, including the Julian clan of the Caesars of Rome, descended from the Trojans, who in turn descend from Zerah-Judah?

John, in his Revelation speaks of this very same binding of the strong man in a little different allegorical language at Rev. 20:1-3: “1 And I saw an angel come down from heaven, having the key of the bottomless pit and a great chain in his hand. 2 And he laid hold on the dragon, that old serpent, which is the Devil, and Satan, and bound him a thousand years, 3 And cast him into the bottomless pit, and shut him up, and set a seal upon him, that he should deceive the nations no more, till
the thousand years should be fulfilled: and after that he must be loosed a little season.” Once we understand that John 3:3 says “born from above” rather than “born again”, we can begin to comprehend that we White Israelites are of a heavenly race. Therefore it is not out-of-place for John to refer to the Roman descendants of Zerah-Judah as angels, which in the Hebrew is elohim, and can mean judges, angels or the Almighty Himself. So the Roman heavenly angels bound the strong man Satan, sometimes also called the serpent, the dragon, or the Devil, and the Canaanite-bad-fig-jews are devils in shoe leather, and the bottomless pit represents the ghettos to which they were restricted; just what the Canaanites, deserved!

Some will argue that this can only apply to the Sephardic line-of-jews, and that the Ashkenazi line are a different people. But if one will do a little checking, he will find that anthropologically speaking the Ashkenazi-jews have Hittite features, thus are Canaanites, just like Esau’s wives! For example, just take a good look at Barbra Streisand, for in doing so, one is looking at a Hittite, nose and all! Yes, the Ashkenazi are Canaanites also!

Well, John said at Revelation 20:2 that Satan would be bound for a thousand years, and it did happen but everyone is looking for the binding of the satanic-Canaanites way off in some indefinite future, while Satan, collectively, already has been bound and loosed from the ghettos and has become the world’s banker of today, and as verse three indicates have gone forth to deceive the nations, and their seat of government is the so-called “United Nations”! Today all ten planks of the Canaanite Karl Marx manifesto are alive and well in nearly every country of the world. One might call them Satan’s ten commandments:

1) ABOLITION OF PRIVATE PROPERTY.
2) HEAVY PROGRESSIVE INCOME TAX.
3) ABOLITION OF ALL RIGHTS OF INHERITANCE.
4) CONFISCATION OF LAND.
5) CENTRAL BANK.
6) GOVERNMENT CONTROL OF COMMUNICATIONS & TRANSPORTATION.
7) GOVERNMENT OWNERSHIP OF FACTORIES AND AGRICULTURE.
8) GOVERNMENT CONTROL OF LABOR.
9) CORPORATE FARMS, REGIONAL PLANNING.
10) GOVERNMENT CONTROL OF EDUCATION.

Try and fight any one of these Canaanite-satanic planks and one will find himself behind bars. Communism was Canaanite-jewish from the very get-go, but today they call it “democracy”. One could debate at length just when the Canaanite-satanic-jews were bound in their bottomless pit, but we have a better idea when they were loosed. It was in the year of 1776, that the Canaanite-jews would organize their money power into an organization known as the Illuminati. And from the Illuminati would come the Council On Foreign Relations and later the Trilateral Commission. From these organizations the Canaanite-jews control all monetary, political and religious activity, promoting Satan’s agenda for all-out racial miscegenation in the name of “Christianity”. Some might argue
that Satan’s binding is to happen in a so-called “future millennium”, but Rev. 20:4 indicates that Satan made his appearance amid the French Revolution with the beheading of “souls” through the use of the guillotine. Thus, shortly after the satanic-Canaanites gained economic power, off came the heads.