

THE INSANE DOCTRINE *of* PERSONAL SALVATION vs. COVENANT THEOLOGY, #1

Clifton A. Emahiser's Non-Universal Teaching Ministries
1012 N. Vine Street, Fostoria, Ohio 44830
emahiser.christogenea.org

Please Feel Free To Copy, But Not To Edit

It is simply amazing how nominal churchianity attempts to tell us that John 3:16 is the "golden text of the Bible". While John 3:16 is truly in the Scripture, it is best rendered by William Finck in his *The Christogenea New Testament*:

"For Yahweh so loved the Society, that He gave the most-beloved Son, in order that each who believes in Him would not be lost but would have eternal life."

"Believing" in Christ's blood to bring we Israelites back under the Abrahamic Covenant is the key! In order to properly understand the context of this verse, it is imperative that we ask: what, when, where, why, how and to whom it pertains. Inasmuch as the whole context of the Bible hangs on Covenant Theology, the "whom" can only be Abraham, Isaac, Jacob and his twelve sons, and their descendants! In other words, Yahweh loved whom? or which Society? It's not for just anyone or everyone!

When Yahweh had taken one of Adam's ribs and created Eve, then presented her to him, he exclaimed: **"This is now bone of my bones, and flesh of my flesh ..."**, Gen. 2:23. As Eve was the same race as Adam, so are we Anglo-Saxon and related peoples the same race as Christ! At Eph. 5:29-30 we read:

"²⁹ For no man ever yet hated his own flesh; but nourisheth and cherisheth it, even as Yahshua the church: ³⁰ For we are members of his body, of his flesh, and of his bones." [i.e., same race]

To rightly interpret this passage, it can only be referring to the Adamic race. And of that race, primarily Abraham, Isaac, Jacob and his twelve sons and their offspring. How dare nominal churchianity falsely claim that the Gospel is also meant for nonwhites! David Livingstone and Henry Morton Stanley never had any Biblical authority to take the New Covenant Gospel to the blacks in Africa! David Livingstone, the so-called Scottish medical missionary, once unwisely wrote, "All I can add in my loneliness is may Heaven's rich blessings come down on everyone, American, English, or Turk, who will help to heal the open sore of the world", *A History of England* by Goldwin Smith, p.658.

We can only apologize for Livingstone's momentous failure to properly distinguish White Englishmen and Americans from racially-mixed Turks [i.e., "Kirghizes, Sarts, Kalmucks, Usbeks, Tajiks and other races in lesser numbers", *World Scope Encyclopedia* under "Turkestan"]. Here we have David Livingstone bestowing "...

Heaven's rich blessings ..." on a very racially corrupted people! That is why I still insist that the highest level of Christian Israel Identity (C.I.I.) is Covenant Theology! The problem is (and I include myself), when we first learn C.I.I., we drag a lot of excess baggage out of the various denominations. My experience has been that I had to scrutinize everything I thought I had learned (or I thought I knew), and start all over from the very beginning!

Now to understand why Yahweh chose Abraham, Isaac, Jacob and his twelve sons, and their offspring above all others, we will consult William Finck's *The Christogenea New Testament*, Hebrews 11:1-10:

"¹ Now faith is expecting an assurance, evidence of the facts not being seen. ² For by this were the elders accredited. ³ By faith we perceive the ages to be furnished by the word of Yahweh, in which that which is seen has not come into being from things visible. ⁴ By faith Abel offered to Yahweh a better sacrifice than Cain, through which he was accredited to be righteous, having testified of Yahweh by his gifts, and being slain because of it he still speaks. ⁵ By faith Enoch was translated, not to see death, and was not found because Yahweh translated him; for before the translation he was accredited to be well pleasing to Yahweh. ⁶ But without faith it is impossible to please. Indeed it is necessary for one approaching Yahweh to believe that He is, and for those seeking Him, He becomes a rewarder. ⁷ By faith Noah was warned. Being cautious about things not yet seen he prepared a vessel for preservation of his house; by which he condemned the Society, and of that righteousness in accordance with faith he became heir. ⁸ By faith Abraham being called had obeyed, to go out into a place which he was going to receive for an inheritance, and went out not knowing where he would go. ⁹ By faith he sojourned in a land of the promise, as an alien having dwelt in tents with Isaac and Jakob, the joint heirs of that same promise. ¹⁰ For he was awaiting a city having those foundations of which Yahweh is craftsman and fabricator."

From this passage in Hebrews, it is clear that Abraham was the last Adamic man that believed Yahweh. Like Noah, Abraham was singled out to receive Yahweh's inheritance in the form of a Covenant. Had there been any other Adamic man living that believed Yahweh at the time as Abraham did, being a just Ruler, He would have had to include that other person with Abraham. There wasn't any and He didn't! In other words, Abraham, in his day, was Yahweh's last chance to select a chosen people (possibly forever). We can only conclude that all other Adamic men (whether of Ham, Japheth, or the remaining portion of Shem) were excluded from the Abrahamic Covenant. Another way to put it is: The first ten chapters of Genesis concerns itself with the creation of the White Race, and the rest of the Bible pertains to one man and his family! This family was/is to be "patriarchal" in nature, not "matriarchal". Wives are to be subject to their husband's authority in our White Israelite society, but women are delegated authority of their own, and exercise vast influence, if they have remained pure from contamination via sexual encounter with racial aliens! As a result of Yahweh's Covenant with Abraham, those under the Covenant become "free", while those not under the Covenant become "tributary" or servants to the "free". There is no possible

Biblical way that anyone, on their own initiative, can willingly receive Yahshua Christ as their personal savior. The Bible proclaims quite the contrary!

THE SILLY NOTION THAT MAN CAN CHOOSE YAHWEH!

It is clearly stated at John 15:13-17: “¹³ **Greater love hath no man than this, that a man lay down his life for his friends.** ¹⁴ **Ye are my friends, if ye do whatsoever I command you.** ¹⁵ **Henceforth I call you not servants; for the servant knoweth not what his master doeth: but I have called you friends; for all things that I have heard of my Father I have made known unto you.** ¹⁶ **Ye have not chosen me, but I have chosen you, and ordained you, that ye should go and bring forth fruit, and *that* your fruit should remain: that whatsoever ye shall ask of the Father in my name, he may give it you.** ¹⁷ **These things I command you, that ye love one another.”**

This should convince us that if we are not “chosen” by Yahweh, we have no hope of ever coming to Him. Here Christ personally chose His disciples. Are we to assume that somehow we are in a special class above His disciples? Additionally, if one is not “drawn” by Yahweh, there is no hope that one can be “drawn” to Him, John 6:44-45, 65: “⁴⁴ **No man can come to me, except the Father which hath sent me draw him: and I will raise him up at the last day.** ⁴⁵ **It is written in the prophets, And they shall be all taught of God. Every man therefore that hath heard, and hath learned of the Father, cometh unto me. ...** ⁶⁵ **And he said, Therefore said I unto you, that no man can come unto me, except it were given unto him of my Father.”**

Another passage that spells this out loud and clear is 1 John 4:9-10. We shall see that Yahweh in the flesh came to us, not we to Him!: “⁹ **In this was manifested the love of God [i.e., Yahweh] toward us, because that God sent his only begotten Son into the world, that we might live through him.** ¹⁰ **Herein is love, not that we loved God, but that he loved us, and sent his Son to be the propitiation for our sins.”**

Yet again, Titus 3:4-6 explains Yahweh’s “kindness” toward man, not man’s “kindness” or affection toward Yahweh: “⁴ **But after that the kindness and love of God [i.e., Yahweh in the flesh] our Saviour toward man appeared,** ⁵ **Not by works of righteousness which we have done, but according to his mercy he saved us, by the washing of regeneration, and renewing of the Holy Ghost;** ⁶ **Which he shed on us abundantly through Yahshua Christ our Saviour ...”**

Commenting on John 6:44, Adam Clarke states in vol. 5 of 6, page 337: “... **Except the father ... draw him** – But how is a man drawn? St. Augustine answers from the poet, *Trahit sua quemque voluptas*: A man is attracted by that which he delights in. So God draws man: he shows him his wants – he shows him the Savior whom he has provided for him. **Unless God thus draw, no man will ever come to Christ; because none could without this drawing, [nor] ever feel the need of a Saviour.** [All outward influences and inward perceptions and dispositions, which lead men to God, and all the powers by which they seek him are divine bestowments, and the salvation of the sinner is therefore purely a matter of grace on God’s part toward him]” [*underlining mine*]

When are we ever going to learn that we, as descendants of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, can do absolutely nothing to bring ourselves to Yahweh! I have said it

before, and I will repeat it again, “The highest level of Israel Identity is Covenant Theology.” I have spent many of my 85 years in churchianity, and I can attest that the churches I attended and the many sermons I have heard taught very little about the Biblical Covenants, and when they did, they twisted them up like pretzels. In the book of Genesis, we have a very abbreviated story of the creation; Noah’s flood; the tower of Babel; and starting with Genesis chapter 12, we have the call of Abraham. With the call of Abraham, and throughout the rest of the Bible, the entire context is that of one man (Abraham) and his family, and **no one else!** There were eight other Covenants with Adam-man, and all of Adam’s descendants will be in the resurrection. However, all those born of Sarah will be “free”, while those **NOT BORN** of Sarah will remain “bond”. Other than these, I believe that the priest-line from Adam, Abel, Seth, Enos, Cainan, Mahalaleel, Jared, Enoch, Methuselah, Lamech, Noah, Shem, Arphaxad, Kainan, Salah, Heber, Peleg, Rue, Serug, Nahor #2, and Terah, as firstborn priests of the order of Melchizedek, of which Christ became the greatest, will hold a special place among the Patriarchs, equivalent to those under the Abrahamic Covenant. (The Bible does not record the origin of the nonwhite races, nor do they fall under any of Yahweh’s nine covenants with Adam-man!) It’s sacrilege to believe otherwise!

From my *Watchman’s Teaching Letter #35*, February 2001, I wrote: “If the oldest living patriarch was the family priestking, Abraham probably paid his tithes to Nahor #2, his brother, rather than Shem. Also, it was found in the Masoretic text that Heber was born before Abraham, and died after him. This is highly unlikely as Heber was Abraham’s great, great, great, great grandfather. Genesis 11:26-27 tells us that Abraham had two brothers, Nahor and Haran. Inasmuch as Nahor #1 (Abraham’s grandfather) died, and Terah became pagan, the priest-king office was probably left to Nahor #2; Haran having died before Abram and Terah left Ur of the Chaldees (Gen. 11:28). Therefore, I believe it is possible that Nahor #2 may have been Abraham’s priest of the order of Melchizedek.

“Because many use Ussher’s chronology, they believe that Shem was contemporary with Abraham, and that Shem was the one to whom Abraham paid his tithes. I was also under the same mistaken impression until I took the time to check the Masoretic text against the Septuagint. After making a chart of both chronologies, I found that the Septuagint has Shem dead for about 650 years before Abraham was born. There is a total discrepancy of 1486 years between the Masoretic and Septuagint chronologies.”

Howard B. Rand’s *Destiny Magazine* for April, 1947, he published a continuing periodical entitled “The March Of History”, and under the subtitle “Truth Is Eternal”, the following was written:

“EVERY WORTHWHILE MOVEMENT IS troubled with those who, because of the ‘loaves and fishes,’ make a pretense of following and advocating its tenets of belief. The propagation of the truth concerning the modern identity, responsibility and destiny of Israel is no exception. It is the peculiar twists given to the truth which we are proclaiming by certain mentally unfortunate individuals, as well as the quacks and impostors, which our opponents delight to quote against the truth itself. Of course the opponents who do so are dishonest for they are fully aware of the source from which

they secure this misinformation; nevertheless, they use it because such false statements serve their purposes in the campaign of misrepresentation.

“After all, the Church itself has been afflicted with charlatans who have used the Gospel of personal salvation for purposes of personal gain, but no one today would think of condemning the truth proclaimed by the Church because of such misuse of the message. Why, then, should the Gospel of the Kingdom which we proclaim be condemned because certain individuals have not only misused that Gospel for personal gain but have also added to it doctrines of their own personal making or of questionable origin? Because a man, through his egotism, proclaims himself a prophet, or even a messiah, that in no way militates against the truth though it should immediately discount his personal standing and integrity. *[underlining mine]*

“The truth is eternal and at times even fools may utter words of wisdom but men do not need to acclaim such a one as a wise man or condemn the truth because a fool by chance has given voice to it. Truth stands apart from the individual through whom it may be proclaimed. If the statements of supposedly wise men cannot stand the test of being separated from the one making them, their utterances will bear careful scrutiny.

“Let us not make the error of rejecting truth because there are those who misuse it, whose activities should bring them as individuals into disrepute.”

I have in my personal library almost everything that Rand ever published. I rate Rand about 50% overall, but he is 100% right on the money with this excerpt from this publication! I have to marvel, as in 1947 I was only twenty years old and knew absolutely nothing about Christian Israel Identity (C.I.I.). Here sixty-five years later, I find myself being a critic of his endeavor. In this case a confirming appraisal. I would point out that sixty-five years ago Howard B. Rand had the same identical position that I am presenting in this paper on Covenant Theology vs. personal salvation!

Rand also wrote a 15 page pamphlet entitled *The Glory of the Emerging Kingdom*. On pages 1 & 2 he stated, in part:

“THERE IS a pernicious endeavor, which is in widespread evidence today, to eliminate all expectations concerning the restoration of the Kingdom of God and its reestablishment upon the earth. Those who object to the fact of the coming earthly reign of Jesus Christ misuse, misquote and misapply the Scriptural pronouncements pertaining to His Kingdom, its universality and its perpetuity. There seems to be a concerted drive to this end, with laymen, ministers and evangelists cooperating in the nefarious repudiation of the proclamation of the Kingdom Gospel which heralds the ultimate emergence of the restored Kingdom of God in righteousness upon the earth.

“Furthermore, there is an attempt to completely discount any possibility of the return of Jesus Christ to reign over such a Kingdom. While admitting that the Second Advent of our Lord is to be an actuality, it is denied that, upon His return, He will, as a descendant of His father David, occupy the Throne of David, reigning over an earthly Kingdom in accordance with the annunciation to His mother Mary:

“... the Lord God shall give unto him the throne of his father David: And he shall reign over the house of Jacob for ever; and of his kingdom there shall be no end.” (Luke 1: 32-33.)

“In the light of this announcement to Mary, vouched for by her Divinely-sent informer, the Angel Gabriel, it is preposterous to contend that, after the Lord’s triumphant return, there will be no Kingdom on earth over which He may reign. The Satanic endeavor, throughout the ages, has been to annihilate the people of the Kingdom so that the promised reign of Jesus Christ as King, over the House of Jacob, can never come to pass. The failure to attain this objective has spawned a second-choice Satanic attempt to spread abroad the lie that there is to be no actual Kingdom on earth and thereby thwart the proclamation of the Kingdom evangel, which includes the necessity for the Kingdom people to acknowledge their identity and fulfill the requirements for readiness to receive their coming King. The Psalmist stated:

“Thy people shall be willing in the day of thy power ...” (Ps. 110: 3.)

“In order for the Kingdom people to be willing in the day of the manifested power of the Lord, there must be an acceptance of the proven identity of the people originally constituting the House of Jacob and their modern representatives in the world today. Without this knowledge, no one is in a position to even approach a discussion of the subject of Jesus’ reign and His Kingdom.”

While Rand did quite well in the introduction to this pamphlet, I have to highly disagree where he wrote, “... its universality and its perpetuity ...” While the Kingdom will be “perpetual”, it absolutely will not be universal in any sense of the word! Now, if Rand meant universal only with the twelve tribes of Israel, it would be truly universal, as it is written that all Israel will be saved. But he didn’t make that as clear as he should have.

Immediately under the title on page 1 of Rand’s pamphlet, he quoted from Psalm 102:15-16, but he rejected the *King James Version*, and after checking these two KJV verses, I could understand why. Rather, Rand used *The New English Bible* which reads:

“Then shall the nations revere thy name, O Lord, and all kings of the earth thy glory, when the Lord builds up Zion again and shows himself in his glory.”

I would amplify this passage thusly for a better understanding: **“Then shall the [Israel] nations revere [T]hy name, O [Yahweh], and all [the Israel] kings of the earth thy [G]lory, when [Yahweh] builds up [new] Zion and shows [H]imself in [H]is [G]lory.”**

As Abraham had faith and believed, so are we to do the same, Hebrews 11:6: **“But without faith *it is impossible to please him*: for he that cometh to God must believe that he is, and *that he is a rewarder of them that diligently seek him.*”**

Rather than being “born again” (John 3:3), we must be “born from above”. It was only Nicodemus who didn’t understand, as v. 10 so clearly substantiates. Churchianity has taken the same mistaken position as Nicodemus did! Rather, we as Israelites should reverberate the words of Hosea 2:7, **“... I will go and return to my first husband; for then was *it better with me than now.*”** That “husband” was no other than Yahweh, who came as Yahshua in the flesh! Being “born from above” is no other than being born of the Heavenly White Adamic race! The first part of Hosea 2:7 reads:

“And she [Israel] shall follow after her lovers, but she shall not overtake them; and she shall seek them, but shall not find ...” Thus it becomes incumbent on

all Israelites to identify just who or what Israel's other lovers were/are, and fully reverse course! To expound on all of which "her lovers" consist of would require quite an extensive study by itself, and goes beyond the scope of this paper.